

NEW

# ליקוטי שליחות

יתרו YISRO  
VOLUME 1, P. 148-154

# LIKKUTEI SICHOS

INSIGHTS INTO THE WEEKLY PARSHA  
BY THE LUBAVITCHER REBBE



Dedicated to

## **Emmanuel (Mendel) Karp**

**1905 - 1989**

son of Bernard (Berl) and Rose (Rezele)



Manny Karp was the first of his family born in the United States, in 1905. His first language was Yiddish. Losing his mother to the Spanish Flu shaped his resilience and commitment to helping others, ultimately leading to a career as a guidance counselor in Philadelphia public schools. He also served many years as the director of Camp Akiba in the Poconos.

Karp's legacy is characterized by his optimism and unwavering dedication to learning and mentorship. Emmanuel Karp died in 1989.

# YISRO I | יתרו א

Adapted from a *sichah* delivered on *Shabbos Parshas Mikeitz*, 5713 (1952)

## Introduction

**T**he number ten frequently appears in the Tanach and Talmudic literature.<sup>1</sup> Probably the best-known examples are the Ten Commandments and the Ten Utterances through which G-d created the world.

The correspondence between these two instances reveals a profound truth – they are intrinsically connected, teaching us that the realm of Torah and that of worldly existence can never truly be separate. One cannot compartmentalize life into religious obligations and day-to-day activities.

This is not a mere theoretical point. At every moment, we are being challenged to integrate the two. Continuously, we must confront the question, “Are you maintaining a constant awareness of G-d as you go about your ordinary daily experience?” The query posed to King David,<sup>2</sup> “Where is your G-d?” echoes as a constant reminder to each of us.

By posing these questions, we motivate ourselves to always maintain a connection with G-d – regardless of what we are doing, whether we are involved in prayer or study or engaged in business or casual conversation. There is no place to make distinctions between the “sacred” and the “mundane.” Instead, we should see our lives as one unified and consonant existence where every action expresses our bond with G-d.

1. See *Avos*, ch. 5, *et al.* According to Kabbalah, all existence derives from ten *sefiros*, and this is why this

number frequently appears in Torah literature.

2. *Tehillim* 42:4.

# An Integrated Existence

## The Divine Mission Given at Sinai

1. The Giving of the Torah is introduced with the words,<sup>1</sup> “G-d spoke all these words, saying (*leimor*).” The commentators ask: What is the meaning of the word “*leimor*”? To clarify the question: Whenever Scripture uses the phrase, “And He spoke to Moshe... *leimor*,” *leimor* means that the message should be conveyed to the Jewish people who did not hear it being spoken. However, at the Giving of the Torah, all the Jewish people were present; everyone heard G-d speak. What then is the meaning of *leimor*?

Moreover, we cannot say that it is a directive to communicate the Ten Commandments to future generations because the souls of future generations were also present at the Giving of the Torah.<sup>2</sup>

The Maggid of Mezritch<sup>3</sup> resolves this question, explaining: The purpose of the Giving of the Torah was to draw down the Ten Commandments of the Torah into the Ten Utterances through which the world was created. This follows the well-known interpretation<sup>4</sup> of the verse,<sup>5</sup> *Asarah, asarah hakaf beshekel hakodesh*, “[Each] spoon weighing ten [silver shekelim] according to the holy *shekel*.<sup>6</sup>” The repetition of the word *asarah*, “ten,” implies that the Ten Utterances are weighed against – i.e., relate and correspond to – the Ten Commandments. By using the wording, “*Vayedaber* (And He spoke)... *leimor* (saying),” Scripture implies that we are to draw down the Ten Commandments (*vayedaber*) into the Ten Utterances (*leimor*).<sup>6</sup>

1. *Shmos* 20:1.

2. See *Shmos Rabbah* 28:6.

3. *Likkutei Torah, Bamidbar*, p. 57c ff.

4. *Zohar*, Vol. III, p. 11b.

5. *Bamidbar* 7:86.

6. The Ten Commandments are referred to as *Asares Hadibros*, relat-

א. בַּיּוֹם מִתְּנִתְּנָה תֹּרְהָה שְׁטִיטִית, וַיַּדְבֵּר אֱלֹקִים אֶת כָּל הַדְּבָרִים הָאַלְּהָ לְאָמֵר. פְּרֻעָּן מִפְּרַשִּׁים, וּוֹאָסְמִינִיט לְלַאֲמֹר? אָוּמְעָטָוּם וּוֹאָעָס שְׁטִיטִית “יִדְבֵּר גּוֹ?” לְאָמֹר “מִינִיט,” אוֹ מַעַן זָאָלְדָאָס אַיְבָּרְזָאָגָן צָוְדִּי אַיְזָן וּוֹאָס הַאֲבָן נִיט גַּעֲהָעָרֶט בְּשַׁעַת מַעַשָּׂה, אַבְּעָר בַּיּוֹם מִתְּנִתְּנָה תֹּרְהָה, וַיַּבְּאָלָד אוֹלָע אַיְזָן זַיְנִיעַן דָּאָרֶט גַּעֲוָעָן, אַזְּנִינָה אַלְּעָה הַאֲבָן גַּעֲהָעָרֶט, הַיְינִינָה וּוֹאָס מִינִיט “לְלַאֲמֹר?”?

מַעַן קָעָן נִיט זָאָגָן, אוֹ דָאָס אַיְזָן צָוְלִיב דִּי וּנְיִטְעָרְדִּיקָע דָּוָרוֹת, וּוֹאָרָוּם בַּיּוֹם מִתְּנִתְּנָה תֹּרְהָה זַיְנִיעַן דָּאָךְ גַּעֲוָעָן אַוְיךְ דִּי נְשָׁמוֹת פָּוָן שְׁפָעָטְעָרְדִּיקָע דָּוָרוֹת.

עַטְנְפָעַט אַוְיךְ דָּעַם דָּעַם מַעֲזִירְתְּשָׁעַד מַגִּיד נְשָׁמָתוֹ עַזְּן, אוֹ דָעַר עַגְּנִינָה פָּוָן מִתְּנִתְּנָה תֹּרְהָה אַיְזָן מַמְשִׁיךְ זַיְנִינָה דִּי עַשְׂרָת הַדְּבָרֹת פָּוָן תֹּרְהָה אַיְזָן דִּי עַשְׂרָה מַאֲמָרוֹת שְׁבָהָם נְבָרָא הָעוֹלָם, וּוֹיְסָה אַיְזָן בָּאוֹאוֹאָסְטָה דָעַר פִּירְוָשִׁי אַוְיכָן פָּסָוק עַשְׂרָה עַשְׂרָה הַכְּפִרְבָּל הַקְּדָשָׁה, אוֹ דִּי עַשְׂרָה מַאֲמָרוֹת זַיְנִיעַן שְׁקָול אַוְן מְכוֹן אַנְטָקָעָגָן דִּי עַשְׂרָת הַדְּבָרֹת. אַוְן דָּעַרְפָּאָר שְׁטִיטִית וַיַּדְבֵּר גּוֹ? לְאָמֹר, מַמְשִׁיךְ זַיְנִינָה דָעַם וַיַּדְבֵּר דִּי עַשְׂרָת הַדְּבָרֹת, אַיְזָן לְאָמֹר – דִּי עַשְׂרָה מַאֲמָרוֹת.

ing to the word *vayidaber*. The Ten Utterances of Creation are referred to as *Asarah Maamaros*, relating to the word *laimor*.

## Even When It Is Not Black and White

2. This interpretation does not merely point out a textual correspondence; it communicates a message for our Divine service:

The light of Torah (the Ten Commandments) must illuminate even worldly matters (the Ten Utterances).

There are some who maintain that the Torah constitutes one realm and the world another. They assert that when one is in a Torah environment, one should conduct himself like a Torah Jew. However, when one goes out into the world, it is different. One may conduct himself there according to the prevailing attitudes of the world, in line with what is customary in such an environment. This is a mistaken approach; a person should ensure that all his affairs, including those connected with this world, be conducted according to the guidelines of the Torah.

Conducting oneself like a Torah Jew does not mean merely avoiding forbidden matters. Obviously, what is forbidden is forbidden. Rather, the intent is that even regarding permitted matters, one should not be governed by worldly attitudes – the mindset of *baalei batim*, (literally, “homeowners”) people immersed in worldly matters<sup>7</sup> – but by the guidelines of the Torah.

### A Jew's Inner Core

3. This is also the deeper meaning of the verse,<sup>8</sup> “My tears were my food day and night, when they would say to me all day long, ‘Where is your G-d?’”

“My tears were my food day and night” means that the bitterness over one’s spiritual state is so great that the tears serve as a substitute for bread; as is known, bitterness can be so great that one does not feel hunger.

7. See the gloss of *Sefer Me’iras Einayim, Shulchan Aruch, Choshen Mishpat*, 3:13, which states that the

perspective of *baalei batim* may well be opposite of the view of the Torah scholars.

ב. דעך ענין פון דעם אין עבדות  
השם איז:

או דעך או רה תורה - עשרה  
הדברות, דארך ליכטן אויך אין די  
ענינים פון וועלט - עשרה מאמרות.

ניט ווי די וואס מיינען או רה תורה  
אייז א באזונגער זאנך און וועלט אייז  
א באזונגער זאנך, זיינגעדיק אין דעך  
סביבה פון תורה וועט ער זיין א  
תורה איד און קומענדיק און וועלט  
וועט ער זיך פירן לוייט די הנחות  
העולם - לוייט דעם שטעל פון  
וועלט און די פירונגגען פון וועלט -  
נאך עס פאָזערט זיך, או אלע זיינע  
ענינים, אויך די ענינים פון וועלט,  
זאלן זיין לוייט די הנחות פון תורה.

מען רעדט ניט וועגן דברים  
האיסורים. דאס אייז דאך א פשוטע  
זאנך, או דאס וואס מען טאר ניט -  
טאר מען דאך ניט. מען מײַנט או  
אַפְּילִי איז דברים המותרים, דארך  
ניט זיינ קיין הנחות העולם, בעל-  
הבטישע הנחות, נאר די הנחות  
פון תורה.

ג. דאס אייז אויך וואס שטייט,  
היתה לי דמעתי לחם יומם ולילה  
באָמוד אלי כל היום איז אַלְקִיך.

היתה לי דמעתי לחם יומם ולילה:  
ער אייז אויז פיל פארבייטערט, ביז  
או די דמעות פארבייטן לחם. די  
טרערן באַשטייען אַנְשְׁטָאַט בָּרוּט,  
וּ עַס אַיז באָוָאָסְט אוּ מְרִירֹות -

8. *Tehillim* 42:4.

What is the primary reason for this bitterness? The verse continues:

“When ‘they’ say to me” – this is referring to probes and demands directed to a person from Above. Consistently – “all day long” – he is being asked, *Ayeh Elokecha*, “Where is your G-d?”

The meaning of *Elokecha*, “your G-d” is “your strength and your life-force,”<sup>9</sup> the G-dly vitality that grants a person life. At the Giving of the Torah, G-d told the Jewish people,<sup>10</sup> “*Anochi* (‘I’) am *Havayah*<sup>11</sup> your G-d (*Elokecha*).” Firstly, a Jew must know, “*Havayah* is *Elokecha*.” *Havayah* refers to that dimension of G-dliness that transcends time, space, and the entire chain-like progression of existence, both spiritual and physical. This unlimited dimension of G-dliness is *Elokecha* – your strength and your life force. Furthermore, the inner core of a Jew’s soul is even loftier. In the phrase “*Anochi* (‘I’) am *Havayah* your G-d,” *Anochi* refers to G-d’s Essence – “*Anochi*, I am who I am, who cannot be hinted at by any letter or point [of a letter],”<sup>12</sup> and *Havayah* is *Elokecha*, your strength and your life force.

“Where is your G-d?” – is being demanded of a person continually, throughout the entire day. In other words, at all times, a Jew is being asked: Where is your awareness of *Anochi Havayah Elokecha*?

Feeling *Elokecha* – an awareness of one’s Divine core – during prayer and Torah study, or during a chassidic *farbrengen*<sup>13</sup> is insufficient. This is not the intent implicit in the soul’s descent to this material plane. Such spiritual awareness could have been achieved by angels or by souls in heaven, as they dwell beneath G-d’s Throne of Glory. The purpose of the G-dly soul’s descent into the body is to refine the body and the animal soul, the person’s natural life-force, for the G-dly soul itself needs no refinement whatsoever.<sup>14</sup>

9. *Shulchan Aruch, Orach Chayim*, sec. 5

10. *Shmos* 20:2.

11. *Havayah* refers to the name of G-d spelled ה-ו-י, which, because

of its holiness, is never pronounced as spelled.

12. *Likkutei Torah, Bamidbar*, p. 80b; *Zohar*, Vol. III, p. 11a.

13. A chassidic gathering for inspira-

פָּאָרְבִּיטָעָרְטְּקִיִּיט - וּוּרְקֶת אֹז  
מַעַן פִּילֶט בִּיטְ קִיִּין הַוְּנָגָעֵר, אָז  
פָּוּן וּוֹאָס אִיז דִּי פָּאָרְבִּיטָעָרְטְּקִיִּיט,  
זָאָגֶט עַד וּוַיְיטָעֵר אַיִן פְּסִיק -  
בָּאָמָרָא אַלְיִ, מַעַן טָעָנוֹת אָן מַעַן  
מְאַנְטָ מְלָמְעָלָה: כָּל הַיּוֹם, אָ  
גָּאָנְצָו טָאָג, אַיִּה אַלְקִיךְ.

אַלְקִיךְ מִיְּנִינְט כְּחַק וְחַיּוֹתָה (דִּין)  
כְּחַק אָנוּן דִּין לְעַבְּן), אָנוּן וּוֹעֵר אִיז  
אַלְקִיךְ: הוּוּ - אַלְקִיךְ. הוּוּ אִיז  
לְמַעַלָּה מַזְמָן וּמִקּוֹם וּלְמַעַלָּה  
מַהְשַׁתְּלִשְׁוֹת, ذָאָס אִיז אַלְקִיךְ -  
כְּחַק וְחַיּוֹתָה. נָאָךְ מַעַרְעָרָה: אָנְכִי  
הָוּ אַלְקִיךְ. אָנְכִי מִיְּנִינְט: אָנְכִי  
מַיְּשָׁאָנְכִי דְּלָא אַתְּרָמָז לְאֶבֶשָׁוּם  
אָז וְלֹא בְּשָׁוּם קֹזִי, ذָאָס אִיז  
אַלְקִיךְ - כְּחַק וְחַיּוֹתָה.

אָנוּן ذָאָס מְאַנְטָ מַעַן בַּיִּ אִים:  
כָּל הַיּוֹם אַיִּה אַלְקִיךְ?! דָעֵר  
הַרְגֵשׁ פָּוּן אָנְכִי הוּוּ אַלְקִיךְ, וּוֹאָ  
אִיז עַד בַּיִּ דִיר דָעֵם גָּאָנְצָו טָאָג?!?

דָאָס וּוֹאָס עַד הַעֲרֵט אָן דָעֵם  
”אַלְקִיךְ“ בְּשֻׁעַטְןִי דָאָוְנָעַן  
אָנוּ לְעַבְּנָעַן, אַדְעֵר בְּשֻׁעַת אָ  
פָּאָרְבִּיטָעָרְטְּקִיִּיט, ذָאָס אִיז וּוַיְינִיק.  
נִיט ذָאָס אִיז דִּי כְּבָנָה. ذָאָס הָאָט  
מַעַן גַּעֲקָעָנְט אָוַיְסְפִּין אָוַיְךְ  
מִיט מְלָאָכִים אַדְעֵר מִיט נְשָׁמוֹת  
לְמַעַלָּה וּוֹי וַיְיִשְׁטַיְיָעֵן תְּחַת כְּסָא  
הַכְּבָדָה. דִּי כְּבָנָה פָּוּן יְרִידָת הַגְּשָׁמָה  
בְּגֻפָּה אִיז אָוִיפְּ מְבָרָר וַיְיִן דָעֵם גֻפָּה  
נְפָשָׁת הַבְּהָמִית, (וּנְאָרוּם הַגְּשָׁמָה  
עַצְמָה אַיִּה צְרִיכָה תִּיקְוּן בְּלִילָה).

tion and mutual encouragement.

14. See *Tanya*, ch. 37. See also *Likkutei Sichos*, Vol. 15, p. 243, translated in *Selections from Likkutei Sichos, Bereishis*, p. 374ff.

A Jew is being asked – indeed, it is demanded of him – that throughout the entire day, when he eats, when he drinks, when he is engaged in business or work, and when he speaks with others, he should be permeated with the awareness of *Elokecha*, his G-dly core. In everything he does, this realization should be as powerful as he understood and felt during prayer and study.

בְּיָמֵינוּ מְאֻנְטָן מַעַן, אֵז אַגְּנַזְן טָאגּ,  
בְּשַׁעַת עַר עַסְטָן, בְּשַׁעַת עַר טְרִינְקָטָן,  
בְּשַׁעַת עַר הַאנְדְּלָטָן, אָוָן בְּשַׁעַת עַר  
רְעַדְתָּן מִיטָּעַנְטָשָׁן, זָאַל זַיְינְ בְּיָיָן  
אִים דְּעַר "אַלְקִיךְ", אַזְוִי וּוּי עַר אַלְיָין  
הָאָט עַס פָּאַרְשְׁטָאַנְעָן אָוָן דְּעַרְהָעָרְטָן  
בְּשַׁעַת זְאַוְנָעָן אָוָן בְּשַׁעַת זְעַרְנָעָן.

(משיחת שבת פרשת מקץ, תש"ג)

---

(ד) זע שלוחן ערוך אורח חיים ס"ה.	(א) שמות רבה כת, א.
(ה) זע לקוטי תורה פנחס פ, ב. זהר ח"ג	(ב) זהר חלק ג, יא, ב.
(ו) זע סמ"ע בחושן משפט סימן ג' ס"ק י"א, א.	(ג) תניא פל"ז.
	(ד"ג). (ו)

## YISRO II | יתרו ב'

Adapted from a *sichah* delivered on *Shavuos*, 5713 (1953)

### Introduction

**A**t present, the argument this *sichah* is intended to counter is almost moot. The study of *pnimiyus haTorah*, the Torah's mystic dimension, is almost universally accepted or, at the very least, regarded as a valid dimension of Torah study.

This was not so in 5713 (1953), the year the *sichah* that follows was delivered. At that time, there were many who argued that these mystical teachings should remain *nistar*, hidden, accessible only to a spiritual elite.

The Rebbe forcefully rejected this approach, maintaining that *pnimiyus haTorah* can and should be studied by every Jew. In this *sichah*, he explains how its universal relevance has its roots in the Sinai experience, the time when the Torah was given to the Jewish people. Then, *nigleh*, the legalistic dimension of the Torah, its body of laws, was revealed only by allusion, contained merely in a veiled form in the Ten Commandments.

While the practical laws of the Torah were presented in this veiled form, its deepest mystical knowledge was revealed openly to every Jew present at that time. They all beheld the Divine Chariot, witnessing spiritual truths that would later be considered too lofty to share.

This lesson from Sinai resonates powerfully today: The mystical dimension of the Torah was always meant to be accessible to all – and it has indeed become so.

## Part of the Sinai Experience

1. There are those who claim that since the teachings of *Chassidus* are included in the realm of Torah study described as *nistar* (“hidden”), it is a realm of study appropriate only for a select few. Accordingly, it should not – or need not – be studied by everyone.

This is a mistaken argument and can be rebutted as follows:

At the Giving of the Torah, when G-d uttered the Ten Commandments, He conveyed the entire Torah to the Jewish people, both *nigleh*, its revealed, primarily legalistic dimension, and *nistar*, its hidden, mystical dimension. However, at that event, all the Torah’s laws, the *nigleh* of the Torah, were in a hidden state. True, as is well known,<sup>1</sup> the Ten Commandments include the entire Torah, for they contain 620 letters, alluding to the 620 *mitzvos*: the 613 Biblical commandments and 7 Rabbinic commandments. Nevertheless, although all these *mitzvos* are included in the Ten Commandments, they are present only by allusion and in a concealed manner.

By contrast, at Sinai, the *nistar* of the Torah – its mystical dimension – was revealed and apparent to all Jews. They all beheld the Divine Chariot; they saw the angels who minister to G-d and carry His throne. This is alluded to in the verse,<sup>2</sup> “G-d’s chariot [comprises] myriads upon myriads of lofty angels (*שְׁנָנָן*); G-d was among them at Sinai in holiness.” The first three letters of the term *שְׁנָנָן* are an acronym for <sup>3</sup> three of the four angels mentioned by the Prophet Yechezkel<sup>4</sup> as carrying the Divine throne, namely the ox, the eagle, and the lion. (The *nun* in the above word refers to the fourth angel that carries the throne, the one with the face of a man.) Knowledge of the Divine Chariot is *pniimiyus haTorah*, the inner, mystic dimension of the Torah. By saying that the entire Jewish people saw this mystic vision, our Sages are teaching that they all participated in the revelation of the Torah’s mystic secrets.

א. עַס זַיִנְעָן פָּאָרָאָן  
אָזְעַלְעָע וְזָאָס טַעַנְהָן אוֹ  
תּוֹרַת הַחֲסִידָה אֵין אָנָּעָנִין  
פָּוֹן נְסָתָר - דָאָרָף מַעַן דָּאָס  
נִיט לְעַרְעָן חַס וְשָׁלוֹם. רַעַד  
עַנְטְּפָעָר אַיְפָר דָּעַם אֵין:

בְּשַׁעַת מִתְּנַן תּוֹרַה הַאֲטָמָעָן גַּעֲגָבָן כָּל הַתּוֹזָה  
כְּלָה, סִי גַּלְלָה אָוֹן סִי  
נְסָתָר פָּוֹן תּוֹרַה. אַדְרָבָה,  
גַּלְלָה פָּוֹן תּוֹרַה אֵין גַּעֲוֹעָן  
דָּאָן בְּנְסָתָר, וַיְיַעַש אֵין  
בָּאוֹאוֹסְטָר, אֹז אֵין דִי  
עַשְׂרָת הַדְּבָרוֹת זַיִנְעָן  
פָּאָרָאָן תְּרָאָן אַוְתִּיּוֹת, וְזָאָס  
אֵין זַיִי זַיִנְעָן מַרְוָעָן דִי  
תְּרָאָן מַצּוֹת: תְּרִיְגָמְצָוֹת  
דָאָרָוִת אָוֹן מַצּוֹת דָרְבָּנוֹן,  
זַיִנְעָן דָאָק דִי אָלָעָן מַצּוֹת  
גַּעֲוֹעָן בְּעַשְׂרָת הַדְּבָרוֹת -  
נָאָר בְּרָמָיו וְנְסָתָר. דָאָקָעָגָן  
נְסָתָר פָּוֹן תּוֹרַה אֵין גַּעֲוֹעָן  
בְּגַלְלָה, וְוַאֲרוֹם אָלָעָן אִידָן  
הַאֲבָן דָאָן גַּעֲזָעָן מַעֲשָׂה  
מְרַכְבָּה, וַיְיַעַש אֵין אַוְיךָ  
אַגְּגָעָדִיְעָט אֵין פְּסָוקָן:  
אַלְפִי שְׁנָנָן גּוֹ סִינִי בְּקָדְשָׁן,  
שְׁנָנָן רְאַשִּׁי תְּבָות שָׂוֹר נְשָׁר  
אַרְיָה אָוֹן דָעַר נְזָן אֵין פְּנִי  
אַדְםָן וְזָאָס מַעֲשָׂה מְרַכְבָּה  
אֵין דָאָק פְּנִימִיּוֹת הַתּוֹרַה.  
(משיחת חג השבעות, תש"ג)

1. *Rashi*, *Shmos* 24:12; *Baal Ha-Turim*, *Shmos* 20:13.

2. *Tehillim* 68:18, as understood by

*Metzudos Tziyon*.

3. *Zohar*, Vol. I, p. 18b, and Vol. III, p. 274a.

4. *Yechezkel* 1:10.

## YISRO III | יתרו ג

Adapted from a *sichah* delivered on *Yud-Beis Tammuz*, 5712 (1952)

### Introduction

In the *sichah* to follow, the Rebbe offers a creative medical analogy to answer a challenge that many have posed.

It has been argued that if Jews had managed for thousands of years without studying *Chassidus*, why did its study become essential in our time? Moreover, it has been claimed that since *Chassidus* emphasizes *bittul*, nullifying self-concern, its study would lead people away from productive engagement with the world.

The Rebbe illuminated this discussion with a striking observation:

Certain diseases were unknown in previous generations and, today, cannot be cured using traditional remedies. As such, new therapies have to be developed to address these modern ailments. A similar process applies regarding modern spiritual ailments and their remedy.

In our time, the disease of excessive self-centeredness – a coarse and brazen egocentricity unlike anything seen in earlier generations – has become endemic. For this modern spiritual malady, G-d provided a precise cure: the teachings of *Chassidus*, which can eliminate its unhealthy growth while strengthening the soul's healthy aspects. The analogy clarifies that *Chassidus* is not merely an optional spiritual supplement, but essential medicine for the unique spiritual disease of our time.

# A New Treatment for a New Disease

## Two Common Arguments Against Studying *Chassidus*

1. Those who oppose the study of *Chassidus* generally present two arguments:

a) If it is so necessary to study, why was it not studied in previous generations? The fact that the Jewish people managed for thousands of years without *Chassidus* would seem to indicate that it is not an essential study.

b) It appears that the focus on the spiritual and self-transcendence emphasized by this study could lead to looking down upon – to the extent of nullifying – physical existence and personal identity (*bittul metzius hagashmias v'hayesh*), since *bittul* is identified with negation and nothingness.

א. די וועלכע זייןען מניגדים אויף לימוד החסידות האבן בדרך כלל צווי טענות.

א) אויב עס איז א נויטיקער לימוד, פארוואס איז דאס פרייער ניט געוווען. און אויב מען האט געקענט אויסקעמען אווי פיליאָן אין חסידות, איז א סימן או עס איז ניט קיין נויטיקער לימוד.

ב) עס איז איז לא לימוד וואס ברעננט צו מבטיל זיין מציאות הגשם והיש, און ביטול איז דאָה אין ענין פון שלילה ווועדר.

## Physical and Spiritual Healing

2. The basis for a response to this argument is found in *Rambam's Shemoneh Perakim*,<sup>1</sup> where he states that just as there are physical illnesses and remedies, so too there are illnesses and remedies for the soul. From this, we can understand that many principles that apply to physical illness and healing also apply to spiritual illness and healing.

ב. די תשובה אויף דעם איז:

עס שטייט אין שמנוה פרקים להרמ"ס או איזו ווי עס זייןען פאראן קראאנקייטן און רפואות אין גוף, אווי זייןען אויך פאראן קראאנקייטן און רפואות אין נפש. פון דעם איז פארשטיאנדייך או פון א סה עניינים וואס זייןען בחולי ורפואת הגוף – קען מען אפלעננען אויך חולוי און רפואת הנפש.

## When Growth Can Be Harmful

3. Generally, illness involves a situation where a certain organ or limb is missing or de-

ג. אין אלגעמעין, מײַנט א קראאנקייט רחמנא לצלן, או עס פעלט, אַזער עס איז קאליע א געוויסער טייל פון גוף.

1. *Shemoneh Perakim*, ch. 3; *Hilchos De'os* 2:1.

fective. However, there exists an illness where nothing is missing from the body. On the contrary, something has been added; a growth of flesh has developed. At first glance, why should it matter that there is an additional piece of flesh when nothing is missing from the body's necessary organs and limbs? Nevertheless, such a growth is clearly an illness. Moreover, sometimes such a growth constitutes an even greater illness than when the body is lacking something. So severe is the disease that people do not even wish to call it by name.<sup>2</sup>

This additional growth of flesh is harmful to the portion of the body where it is located and can also spread further (Heaven forbid).

Just as this illness differs from all other illnesses, so too, its cure differs from the cures for other illnesses. All conventional medicines involve ingesting something into the body, swallowing medicines, herbs, or the like. In contrast, the cure for this illness involves surgery, radiation, or another means to disrupt the excess growth, and through such processes, the person truly becomes well.

The method of healing this illness was discovered only a few generations ago and the specific application in healing this sickness was discovered even later. Indeed, research in this field continues.

עַס אֵין זֶא אַבְעַר אַ קְרָאנְקִיִּט וְאַס עַס  
פָּעַלְט גָּאַרְנִישַׁט אַיְן גּוֹפַּה אַדְרָבַּה, עַס אֵין  
גָּאַר צְוַעַדְקּוּמָעַן (צְגַעַוְאַקְסָן) אַ שְׁטִיקָל  
פְּלִיַּישַׁ אַיְן אַיְן פְּלָוְג וּוּמְעַן אַרְטַּ אַז אַיְן  
דָּא נָאַךְ אַ שְׁטִיקָל פְּלִיַּישַׁ, עַס פָּעַלְט דָּאַךְ  
גָּאַרְנִישַׁט פָּוּן דָּעַם טִילְוּ וּוּלְכָעָר דָּאַרְפַּיְאַ  
זַיְינַן? פּוֹנְגַּדְעַסְטוּוּגָן זַעַט מְעַן דָּאַךְ אַז דָּאַס  
אַיְן אַ קְרָאנְקִיִּט. אַוְן אַדְרָבַּה, אַמְּאַל רַחְמָנָא  
לְצָלָן, אַיְן דָּאַס נָאַךְ אַ גְּרַעַסְעַרְעַ קְרָאנְקִיִּט  
וּוְיַוְעַן עַס פָּעַלְט עַפְעַס אַיְן גּוֹפַּה, בֵּין עַס אֵין  
אוֹזָא שְׁטָאַרְקָעַ חֹלְאַת רַחְמָנָא לְצָלָן, אַז מְעַן  
וּוְילָ דָּאַס אַפְּלִיוּ מִיטַּן נַעֲמַן יִטְאַרְפּוּן.

די צוֹגַעַוּ אַקְסָעָעַ שְׂטִיקָל פְּלִיָּשׁ אַיִּז  
שְׁעַדְלָעַד פָּאָר דָּעַם אַרְטָוּ וְאָוּ זִי גַּעֲפִינְטָוּ זַיִּהְיָה  
אוֹן זִי קָעָן זַיִּק אַוְיךְ פָּאַרְשָׁפְּרִיְּטָן רַחְמָנָא  
לְצִילָּן וְוִיטָּעָרָד.

אווי ווי די קראאנקייט אוין אנדערש פון  
אלע אנדערש קראאנקייטן, אווי אויך די  
רפואה צו איר, אוין אנדערש ווי די רפואות  
צו אנדערע קראאנקייטן. אלע רפואות גיט  
מען צו צו דעם מענטשן. דאעקען די רפואה  
צו ڏער מחלה אוין צו צעשטערן דעם  
איבעריקון טיל, און זורף דעם ווערט דער  
מענטש געזונט מיט אן אמרת.

די רפואה צו דער זאיניקער קראנקheit  
רחמנא לצלן האט מען אויסגעפונגען  
אינגןץן מיט א פאר דורות צוריק, און די  
וועגן בפרטיות ווי איזו צו נוין די רפואה  
האט מען אויסגעפונגען נאך שפערטער, און  
מען האלט נאך אין מיטן פארשן.

## Unwillingness to Change Can Be Extremely Harmful

4. It is self-evident that the argument of someone who refuses to undergo such

2. The Rebbe is referring to cancer. He and many other Jews would refrain from mentioning the disease by name because of the awful devastation it causes.

It must be emphasized that although the Rebbe sees a relationship between the spread of this disease in our generation and the prevalence of egocentricity, the intent is not –

Heaven forbid – to insinuate that those who contract this disease are characterized by that spiritual flaw.

treatment is not valid even though he might claim that:

a) Medical science is meant to develop and strengthen the body, not to destroy any part of it.

b) Since such forms of treatment were not used for many generations, new doctors with their new treatments should not be heeded. When a person claims he is "also an expert"<sup>3</sup> and will conduct himself as was done in earlier times, it is self-understood that these arguments have no validity.

It is the healthy portions of the body that need to be strengthened and enabled to flourish, not the additional growth. It does not belong in the body; on the contrary, it is harmful to the body and, therefore, must be eliminated.

In the past, this illness was not as manifest and as common as it is today. Therefore, people did not search for a cure as intensively. Moreover – and this is the primary reason – the cure for it had not been made available from Above. However, recently, as this illness has become more prevalent (Heaven forbid), G-d has made the cure available in the present time, following the principle that "the Holy One, blessed be He, provides the cure before the illness."<sup>4</sup>

רִפּוֹאָה מִצְדָּךְ דִּי טָעָנוֹת: א) רִפּוֹאָה אֵין עַנִּין פּוֹן פָּאָרְבְּרִיְּטָעָרְן אָנוּ פָּאָרְשְׁטָאָרְקָן דָּעַם מְצִיאוֹת הָגָוָה, אָנוּ בִּיטָּפּוֹן צְעַשְׁטָעָרְן. ב) וּוּבָאָלְדָּ אָנוּ דָּוָרוֹת נָאָךְ דָּוָרוֹת הָאָטָּ מְעַן דָּאָס נְיִיטָּ גַּעֲנָצְטָּ, וּוּבִיל עַר זִיךְ נִיטָּ צְוָהָרָעָן צָוָּ דִּי נִיעָע דָּאָקְטוּרִים מִיטָּ זִיךְ עַרְעָעָן נִיעָע רִפּוֹאָהָת, עַר אֵין "סָאָפְּאָוָשְׁנִיק" אָנוּ וּוּעַט זִיךְ פִּירָן וּוּמְהָאָטָּ זִיךְ גַּעֲפִירָט אַמְּאָלָּ. פָּאָרְשְׁטִיטִיט דִּאָךְ יַעֲנָר אַיְגָעָר אַזְּ דָּאָס זִיךְ עַנִּין קִיְּין טָעָנוֹת נִיטָּ.

וּוּבִיל דָּאָס וּוּאָס מְעַן דָּאָרְךָ פָּאָרְשְׁטָאָרְקָן אָנוּ פָּאָרְבְּרִיְּטָעָרְן, אֵין דָּאָס דָּעַם גַּעֲוָנָטָן טִילְפּוֹן גּוֹף, אָבָעָר בִּיטָּ דָּעַם צּוֹגְעָוָוָאָקְסָעָנוּם טִילְלָ, וּוּבִיל עַר גַּעֲהָרָטָן נִיטָּ צָוָּ דָּעַם גּוֹף, אָנוּ אַדְּרָבָה, עַר אֵין מִזְּקָה (שְׁעַדְלָעָד) צָוָּם גּוֹף, מְוּן מְעַן אִים צְעַשְׁטָעָרְן.

אַמְּאָל אֵין דִּי קְרָאָנְקִיָּט רְחַמְּנָא לְצָלָן נִיטָּ גַּעֲוָנָעָן אֹזְיָ אָפְּנָ אָנוּ אַפְּטָ וּוּ הִיְנִיטָּ, הָאָטָּ מְעַן נִיטָּ גַּעֲוָקָט אֹזְיָ שְׁטָאָרָקָן, אָנוּ דִּעָר עִיקָּר וּוּאָס מְלָמָּעָלָה הָאָטָּ מְעַן נִיטָּ מְזָמִין גַּעֲוָעָן דִּי רִפּוֹאָה צָוָּ דָּעַם. דָּאָקְעָגָן לְעַצְטָנָס, אָזְדִּי מְחַלָּה אֵין פָּאָרְמָעָרָט גַּעֲוָאָרָן, רְחַמְּנָא לְצָלָן – אֵין הַקְּדוֹשָׁ בָּרוּךְ הוּא הַקְּדִים רִפּוֹאָה לְמַכָּה, אָנוּ הָאָטָּ מְזָמִין גַּעֲוָעָן דִּי רִפּוֹאָה צָוָּ דָּעַם.

## The Spiritual Disease of Our Time and the Cure for It

5. All physical phenomena stem from their spiritual source and counterpart. Similarly, the above-mentioned illness and its cure – which both appeared only in recent generations –

ה. אַלְּעַ עַנִּינִים אֵין גַּשְׁמִיוֹת נְעַמְּעָן זִיךְ פּוֹן זִיךְ יַעֲרָד שָׂוָרָשָׁ אָנוּ עַנִּינְעַכְּקִיָּט אֵין רְוַחַנִּיות. אֹזְיָ אֹזְיָ דִּי מְחַלָּה אָנוּ רִפּוֹאָה הַגְּלָל, וּוּאָס אֵין גַּעֲקּוּמָעָן אֵין דִּי לְעַצְטָעָ דָוָרוֹת, אֵין עַס מִצְדָּךְ זִיךְ יַעֲרָד

3. The Russian expression *sam sapozhnik*, (liberally translated as "I, myself, am an expert") refers to someone who considers himself an expert without undergoing proper

schooling. *Sam sapozhnik* literally means, "I too am a shoemaker [and I made this myself]." See *Sefer HaSichos* 5698, p. 264.

4. *Midrash Lekach Tov, Shmos* 3:1 and *Megillah* 13b teach that G-d ensures that remedies exist before allowing problems to arise.

emerged because their spiritual counterpart also appeared in recent generations.

We are now in *ikvesa d'ikvesa d'Meshicha*, the final stages before *Mashiach's* coming. The coming of the time when “He [will] put an end to darkness”<sup>5</sup> is imminent. Consequently, the *kelipah* of Amalek – brazen self-centeredness without reason or cause<sup>6</sup> – has become stronger and more prevalent.

Of course, pride (*ga'avah*) and self-centeredness (*yeshus*) existed in earlier times as well, but they were not as coarse or as prevalent as they are today. This spiritual condition has manifested itself physically as well. The body produces a growth that has no reason or purpose, one that drains the body’s energies, acting as though it alone was the primary element.

Therefore, G-d provided the cure before the illness and revealed the teachings of *Chassidus*, which eliminate and destroy entirely the unhealthy dimension of *yeshus*, self-centered existence.

עַנְלָעַכְנִית אֵין רָוּחָנִית, וְוָאָס אֵין  
אוֹיֵך גַּעֲקֹמָעַן בְּדָרוֹת הַאֲחָרוֹנִים.

עַס אֵין דָּאַך עַקְבָּתָא דַעֲקָבָתָא  
דַמְשִׁיחָא, אַט בַּאַלְד אֵין – קַץ שָׁם  
לְחַשֵּׁך, בְּמִילָא וּוּעֶרֶת פָּאַרְשָׁטָאַרְקָט  
אוֹוָן פָּאַרְמָעָרְטָקְלִיפָת עַמְלָק, חַזְפָה,  
יִשְׁוֹת אָן אַטְעַם אוֹוָן אַט פָּאַרְוָאָס.

– אַמְּמָאַל אֵין אוֹיֵך גַּעֲוֹעַן גַּאֲוָה אָנוֹי  
יִשְׁוֹת, עַס אֵין אַבְעָר נִיט גַּעֲוֹעַן אָנוֹי  
פָּאַרְגְּרָעְבָט וּוּי דִיְגִינְט.

אוֹוָן פָּוָן דָּעַם אֵין אַרְוֹוִיסְגַּעַקְוּמָעַן  
אוֹיֵך אֵין גַּשְׁמִוֹת – אַמְּצִיאוֹת וּוָאָס  
הָאַט אֵין זִיך נִיט קִיְין שָׁוָם טָעַם אוֹוָן  
פָּאַרְוָאָס, דִי מְצִיאוֹת נַעֲמָט צָו דִי  
חַזְוֹת פָּוָן גּוֹפָ, אַזְוֹי וּוּי דָעַר עַיְקָר  
מְצִיאוֹת וּוֹאַלְט גַּעֲוֹעַן נַאֲר זִיך.

הָאַט דָעַר אַוְיְבָעַרְשָׁטָעַר מַקְדִּים  
גַּעֲוֹעַן רְפּוֹאָה לְמִפְּהָה, אוֹנָה הָאַט מַגְלָה  
גַּעֲוֹעַן תּוֹרַת הַחַסִּידָוֹת, וּוָאָס פּוּעַלְלָט  
אַצְעַשְׁטָעַרְנָגָן אָנוֹן אַפָּאַרְוּוִיסְטָנָגָן אֵין  
דָעַם קְרָאָנְקָן טִילְמְצִיאוֹת הַיִשְׁוֹת.

## Where the Analogue Differs from the Analogy

6. It is self-understood that there is one detail in which the analogy differs from its spiritual counterpart. In the analogy of physical illness, if the treatment continues for too long, it can damage even the healthy part of the body. By contrast, in the spiritual counterpart, one can continue studying *Chassidus* without interruption – studying more and more – and it will eliminate only the unhealthy traits of pride and self-centeredness without damaging one’s positive character traits. Indeed, it will strengthen and add vigor to the healthy aspects of

וּזְלָבְסְטָפָרְשָׁטָעַנְדָלָעַ אֵין אֵין  
אֵין פְּרַט אֵין נִיט גַּלְיִיך דָעַם גַּעַזְמָל צָוָם  
נַמְשָׁל. אֵין מַשְׁל, אוֹיֵך מַעַן הַאַלְט דִי  
רְפּוֹאָה צְוֹפִיל צִיִּיט, קַעַן דָאַס פּוּעַלְלָט  
אַהֲרֹוֹס אוֹיֵך אֵין דָעַם גַּעֲזָוָנְטָן טִילְל  
פָּוָן גּוֹפָ. דָאַקְעָגָן אֵין רָוּחָנִית קַעַן  
מַעַן הַאַלְטָן אֵין אֵין לְעַרְגָּעָן חַסִּידָוֹת,  
וּוּיְטָעַר לְעַרְגָּעָן אָנוֹנָה לְעַרְגָּעָן, אָנוֹנָה  
עַס וּוּעַט פּוּעַלְלָט אַהֲרֹוֹס נַאֲר אֵין דָעַם  
טִילְל פָּוָן גַּאֲוָה וִישּׁוֹת. אֵין גַּעֲזָוָנְטָן  
טִילְל וּוּעַט דָאַס אַדְרָבָה, גַּעַבָּן אַחִיזָק

5. Cf. *Iyov* 28:3.

6. See *Torah Or*, pp. 83d, 85a, 95a,  
*Sefer HaMaamarim* 5680, p. 293ff.,  
*et al.*

one's personality, as indicated by our Sages,<sup>7</sup> who note that the Torah is described both as a “strength” and a “weakening”<sup>8</sup> – a weakening of the animal soul<sup>9</sup> and a strengthening of the G-dly soul.

און תוספת כוח, ווי עס שטיטע און תורה איז עוז ותוישעה, תושיה לנפש היבחמית און עוז לנפש האלקית.  
(משיחת ר' ב תמוז, תש"ב)

7. *Mechilta* on *Shmos* 15:2; *Vayikra Rabbah* 31:5; *Sanhedrin* 26b. See also *Torah Or*, pp. 67a, 109a.

8. *Iyov* 12:16. The translation of תושיה as “weakness” is based on the fact that this is the implication of the root letters ש. See *Metzudas David*

on the verse.

9. The dimension of beings that controls our natural functioning and instinctual drives.

הוספות צו תורה או ריש דארט קט, א.

פל"א, ה. סנהדרין כו, ב. זע אויך תורה או ריש פרשת יתרו סז, א. און

(ח) פ"ג. זע אויך הילכות דעתות פ"ב ה"א.  
(ט) מכילתא בשלח טו, ב. ויקרא רבא

# YISRO IV | יתרו ד

Adapted from a *sichah* delivered on *Yud Shvat*, 5719 (1959)

## Introduction

**M**ost Lubavitcher chassidim are familiar with the story which introduces this *sichah*, especially its conclusion, “Better to eat in order to *daven* (“pray”), then to *daven* in order to eat.”

The practical relevance is clear – especially when it is quite common to see people get out of bed, rush off to *shul*, recite their morning prayers, and go home, take a cup of coffee, eat breakfast, and *then wake up*.

By contrast, the Chabad custom is to eat a light snack, study some *Chassidus*, and prepare, so that one is mentally and physically alert when beginning to pray.

However, the Rebbe takes the above maxim far beyond its practical application and interprets it in a manner that illuminates the entire relationship between our spiritual and material lives. “Eating” – satisfying our material needs and/or deriving pleasure from a physical activity – serves as an analogy for the entire realm of our material experience. *Davening* – seeking and experiencing a connection with G-d – functions as a metaphor for all our spiritual endeavors.

Too often, people live their lives with a dichotomy between these two realms without establishing harmony between them. The Rebbe, however, sees the integration of these two realms as a given and challenges us to examine the balance between them.

Do we *daven* in order to eat? Do we pray, study, and perform *mitzvos* so that afterwards we will receive Divine blessings in our daily lives because of these spiritual activities?

Or do we eat in order to *daven*? Do we carry out the physical activities necessary to guarantee our health, sustenance, and well-being for a spiritual purpose – to deepen our connection with G-d and to carry out His will?

# Living Torah: The Tzemach Tzedek's Guidance to Rebbetzin Rivkah

1. Among the private notes of my revered father-in-law, the Rebbe Rayatz, whose *yahrzeit* is being commemorated today, are his recollections of the stories told about his grandfather, the Rebbe Maharash, as well as his grandmother, the righteous Rebbetzin Rivkah (the wife of the Rebbe Maharash), whose *yahrtzeit* is also on the tenth of Shvat.

Among these notes is recorded a story which has already been published<sup>1</sup> concerning an incident that occurred when Rebbetzin Rivkah was eighteen years old and seriously ill. The doctor had instructed her to eat early, immediately upon awakening. Since she did not want to eat before praying, (*davening*), she would rise very early, pray, and only then eat. Thus, she managed not to eat before prayers and also to eat early. Nevertheless, as self-evident, this practice not only failed to improve her health, but was detrimental because she was not getting enough sleep.

When her father-in-law, the *Tzemach Tzedek*, learned of this, he told her, "A Jew must be healthy and strong. Concerning the *mitzvos* it is written,<sup>2</sup> *Vachai bahem* – 'One should live in them,' which means that one must invest the *mitzvos* with vitality (*chayus*). To be able to bring vitality into the *mitzvos*, one must be strong and joyful." The emphasis on vitality was explained by the Maggid of Mezritch<sup>3</sup> and mentioned in

א. עס איז דא א רשיימה פון כבוד קדשות מורי וחמי אדוננו מורהנו ורבנו בעל הילולא, וואס דארטן זיינען פארצ'יכנט זיכרונות פון זיין זיין דעם רבין מהר"ש, אווי אויך פון זיין זיין באבען הרבנית הצדקנית רבקה (דעם רבינו מהר"ש'ס רביצין), וואס איר יארצ'יכיט אווי אויך זי"ד שבט.

צווישן די זיכרונות איז פארשראבן א סיפוח, וואס דער סיפור איז שווין אויך געדראקטן, או בשעת זי אי אלט געווען אכצין יאה, איז זי געזען שטארק ניט געזונט. דער דאקטאר האט איר געהיסן זי זאל עסן באלאד זי שטיטיט אויך פון שלאת. אווי זי זי האט ניט געוועאלט עסן פאָרָן דאָוָונָעָן, פֿלְעָגָט זי אָוּפְשָׁטִיָּין גַּאֲרָפְּרִי, דאָוָונָעָן אָוִיך גַּעֲגָעָסָן נאָכְהָעָד עָסָן. בּמִילָא האט זי ניט גַּעֲגָעָסָן פֿאָרָן זַעֲלְבָסְטָפֿאָרְשָׁטָעְנְדָלְעָךְ אָז גַּעֲזָוָנָט, נָאָר נִיט צַוְּגַעַגְעָבָן קִיָּין נִיט דַעֲרָשָׁלָאָפָן.

האט איר דער צמח צדק געזאגט: אַיְדָאָרְךָ זיַין גַּעֲזָוָנָט אָוִיך אַבְלָכָה. אויך מְצֹוֹת שְׁטִיטִיט וְחַי בָּהֶם, אַיְזָדָר טִיטִיש וְחַי בָּהֶם מְעַן דַאֲרָךְ אַרְיִינְבָּרְעָנְגָעָן חַיָּות אַיְן דִי מְצֹוֹת (כִּפְרוֹשׁ הַמְּגִיד מִמְּעֹזְרִיטָשׁ שְׁנָכֵר בְּהַמְּאָמָר). בְּכָדי אָז

1. *Hayom Yom*, entry 10 Shvat.

2. *Vayikra* 18:5. The halachic connotation of the phrase is that none of

the Torah's prohibitions should be observed when there is a danger to life (*Yoma* 85b, *et al.*).

3. *Or Torah, Parshas Acharei*, sec. 110, in the latest edition, on p. 153.

the *maamar*<sup>4</sup> delivered earlier in the *farbrengen*. The *Tzemach Tzedek* concluded: “You should not *daven* on an empty stomach. Better to eat in order to *daven*, than to *daven* in order to eat.”

\* \* \*

All matters that the Rebbeim related, particularly those transmitted from generation to generation, are not mere stories. The fact that they were passed down from one generation to another certainly indicates that they are relevant to all listeners, providing them with lessons to learn from.

\* \* \*

Man’s concerns are generally divided into two categories: a) acts a person carries out for the sake of G-d – for example, Torah study, prayer, and the fulfillment of *mitzvos* – and b) things he does for himself – some of which are genuinely necessary, and others merely appear necessary to the individual at the time.

The fundamental core of these two categories can be described as “praying” and “eating,” respectively. The first category is “praying,” for the concept of prayer is turning to, connecting with, and knowing before whom you stand,<sup>5</sup> i.e., before the King of kings, the Holy One, blessed be He.<sup>6</sup> All the acts in this category can be boiled down to praying, seeking, and bonding with Him.

The second category is “eating.” This includes everything a person feels he needs – what he “hunger” for – and has his hunger satisfied

מען זאל קענען אַרְיַנְבָּרְעַנְגָּעָן אַחֲיוֹת  
אַיִן מְצֹוֹת, מַעַן זִין אַבְּלָעַ כֹּחַ אָוֹן  
זִין בְּשִׁמְחָה. אָוֹן דָּעַר צַמָּח צַדְקָה אַת  
מְסִים גְּעוּזָעָן: דָּוֹ דָּאַרְפָּסְט נִיטָּזִין קִין  
יַכְּטָרָעָע. בְּעַסְעָר עַסְנָ צַוְּלִיב דָּאוּנָעָן  
וְוִי דָּאוּנָעָן צַוְּלִיב עָסָן.

אַלְעַ עֲנִינִים וְוָאָס דִּי רְבִים הַאֲבָנָת  
דָּעַרְצִילַת, וּבְפֶרֶט דָּאָס וְוָאָס מְעַן הַאֲט  
אַבְּרָגָעָגָעָבָן מַדּוֹר לְדוֹה, זִיןְעָן דָּאָק  
נִיטָּסְתָּמָקִין סִיפּוֹרִים. פָּוֹן דָּעַם וְוָאָס  
מְעַן הַאֲט עַס אַבְּרָגָעָגָעָבָן מַדּוֹר לְדוֹה  
אַיִן דָּאָק זִיכָּעָר אוֹ דָּאָס הַאֲט אַשְׁיִיכּוֹת  
צַו דִּי הַעֲרָעָה, אַז זִין זַיְקָאָפְּלָרְנָעָן  
פָּוֹן דָּעַם.

דִּי עֲנִינִים פָּוֹן מְעַנְטָשָׁן וּוּעָרָן  
פָּאַנְאַנְדָּעָגָעָטִילַט בְּכָל אַיִף צְוּוִי  
סָאָרְטָן: אַדִּי עֲנִינִים וְוָאָס מְעַן טוֹט  
צַוְּלִיב דָּעַם אַזְּבָעָרְשָׁטָן, אַזְּיָה וְוִי לִימּוֹד  
הַתּוֹרָה, תְּפִלָּה אָוֹן קִיּוֹם הַמְצֹוֹת. בְּדִי  
עֲנִינִים וְוָאָס מְעַן טוֹט צַוְּלִיב זִין, דָּאָס  
וְוָאָס עַס אַיִן דִּי אַמְתָּע נַוְטִיקִיט פָּאָר  
מְעַנְטָשָׁן אַדְעָר דָּאָס וְוָאָס עַס וּזִין  
אַוִּיס אוֹעַס אַיִן נַוְטִיקִיט פָּאָר אִים.

דִּי מְקוֹדָה פְּנִימִית פָּוֹן דִּי צְוּוִי סִוגִּים  
אַיִן “דָּאוּנָעָן” אַזְּנָעָן “עָסָן”. דָּעַר עַרְשְׁטָעָן  
סָאָרְטָן אַיִן “דָּאוּנָעָן”, וְוָאָרָם דָּעַר עֲנִינָן  
פָּוֹן דָּאוּנָעָן אַיִן וּוּעָנָדָן זִין, בָּאַהֲעָפָטָן  
זִין אָוֹן דָּעַ לְפָנֵי מֵי אַתָּה עָמָד – לְפָנֵי  
מֶלֶךְ מֶלֶכִים הַקָּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּךְ הוּא.  
דָּעַר צְוּוִיְּשָׁעָר סָאָרְטָן אַיִן “עָסָן”, אַלְעַ  
וְוָאָס דָּעַר מְעַנְטָשָׁן דָּאָרָה, “הַוְנָגָעָרָת”  
זִין אִים צַו דָּעַם, אָוֹן בָּאַקְוּמָנִידָק

4. The *maamar* entitled *Basi LeGani*, 5719.

an expression based on *Berachos* 28b and found on lecterns in many synagogues (although it is not Lubavitch

custom).

5. “Know before whom you stand” is

6. See *Avos* 3:1.

by fulfilling these needs whether they be real or imagined.

זין באדרעפניש – שטילת ער זין  
הוינגר – עסן.

\* \* \*

There are several ways a person can approach these categories of prayer and eating, i.e., spiritual and material pursuits.

One approach is where a person operates within a dichotomy; his “praying” and “eating” have no connection to each other. During prayer – in other words, during his spiritual activities like studying Torah, fulfilling *mitzvos*, and *davening* – he has no connection to worldly matters. Looking at him, one might think that he is a perfect *tzadik* (“completely righteous”). However, afterwards, when he engages in worldly concerns – be it business, eating in an actual, literal sense, or other material activities – no trace of holiness, spirituality, or refinement is evident in him.

Regarding such an approach, it is fitting to borrow our Sages’ words, “Are we dealing with fools?”<sup>7</sup> and, “We do not concern ourselves with the wicked,”<sup>8</sup> for it is not even necessary to negate such an approach.

אין דעם אופן ווי אוזי צו דאוונען  
און ווי אוזי צו עסן, קענען זין  
עלעלכע אופנים.

עס קען זין אן אופן איז דעם מענטש  
אייז ציעשפאלטן. דער “דאונגען” מיטן  
“עסן” האבן קיין שייכות ניט צוינישן  
זיך. בשעתן דאוונען (דאס הייסט  
בשעת ער לענטט, אייז מקיים מזכות  
און דאוונט) האט ער ניט קיין שייכות  
צו עניינים פון ויעלט, ביז מען קען  
מיינען או ער אייז א צדייק גמור, אבער  
שפערטוור בשעתעס קומט צו ענייני  
העולם, מסחר איזער עסן כפשוטו,  
אייז אינגןץ ניט קענטיק איזיף אים  
קײַן עניין פון קדושה, רוחניות און  
אייזלעקייט.

אבער איז אופן אייז דאך אן עניין  
וואס איזיף דעם זאגט מיען: אטו  
בשפטעני עסקינע? וברשייע לא  
עסקינע.

\* \* \*

There is a second approach, in which the person’s “prayer” and “eating” are connected. The person consults the *Shulchan Aruch* regarding everything he does and acts according to what is written there. However, he is praying – carrying out spiritual activities – for the sake of eating.

To put it differently, he knows that, “unfortunately,” he must depend on G-d and that the formula to receive blessings from Him is stated

א צויניעדר אופן, איז דער דאוונען  
מיטן עסן האבן א שייכות, אין אלע  
זויינע עניינים קוקט ער איין אין שלחן  
ערוך און טוט ווי דארטן שטיטיט,  
אבער ער דאוונט צוליב עסן.

דאס הייסט: אוזי ווי ער וויס איז  
ער דארף “געבעך” אנקומען צום  
אויבערשטן, און די וועג ווי אוזי צו

7. *Bava Basra* 122a, *et al.*

8. A common Rabbinic expression,  
based on *Yoma* 6a, *et al.*

in the verse,<sup>9</sup> “If you will follow My statutes... I will provide your rains in their time.” Therefore, he does what G-d commands. But why is he doing so? So that afterwards he can come to G-d with a “promissory note,” demanding that G-d give him everything he needs as payment for his prayer.

True, the Talmud<sup>10</sup> states, “One who says, ‘This coin is for charity so that my son may live’ is completely righteous.” Consequently, this approach of “praying” for the sake of “eating” – in other words, performing *mitzvos* in the hope of receiving Divine beneficence in return – is valid.

Now, we are speaking about a Torah-conscious Jew. As *Rashi* explains in his commentary on that Talmudic passage, “[This refers to one who] is accustomed to doing so” – not someone who gives charity once and then stops when his son recovers, but one who continues doing so regularly. Nevertheless, since initially – and perhaps, even afterwards – his conduct stems from self-interest, this is not the ultimate goal.

Certainly, such conduct is sanctioned, as the Talmud<sup>11</sup> states, “One should always study Torah and fulfill its *mitzvos*, even not for their own sake (*she-lo lishmah*).” But why is this mode of observance sanctioned? For the reason the Talmud goes on to give: Because such conduct will ultimately lead him to study Torah and fulfill its *mitzvos* for their own sake (*lishmah*).

\* \* \*

The ultimate desired approach is not that “praying” and “eating” should be disconnected, separate realms, nor that “praying” should be for the sake of “eating” – i.e., that one’s spiritual activities be carried out for the sake of material benefit. Instead, “eating” should be for the sake of “praying.”

His prayer – his spiritual activities – must cer-

באקוּמָעַן פָּוּן דָּעַם אָוִיבָעַרְשָׁטָן  
אַיְזָן וְיַיְזָן עַר זָאָגַט אַיְן פְּסָוק אַם  
בְּחַקְתִּי תְּלַכְּוּ גַּוִּי וְנַתְּתִי גַּשְׁמִיכָם  
בְּעַתָּם, דָּעַרְפָּאָר טוֹת עַר וְזָאָס  
דָּעַר אָוִיבָעַרְשָׁטָר הַיִּסְט. בְּכַדִּי אַזִּי  
דָּעַרְנָאָק זָאָל עַר קָעָנָעַן צוּמָן אַזִּי  
אָוִיבָעַרְשָׁטָן מִיטַּדָּעַם דָּעַם וְוַעֲסָל, אַזִּי  
דָּעַר אָוִיבָעַרְשָׁטָר זָאָל אִים גַּעֲבָן  
אַלְזָן וְזָאָס עַר דָּאָרָף, אָוִיסְצָאַלְן אִים  
פָּאָר זָיִן דָּאָוָנָעַן.

די גַּמְרָא “זָאָגַט טָאָקָע, אַזִּי הָאָמֵר  
סָלָע זָוִזְדָּקָה עַל מִנְתָּה שִׁיחָה בְּנֵי  
הָרִי זָה צְדִיק גָּמָוָה, וּבְמִילָּא, אַזִּי  
דָּעַר וּוְעַג פָּוּן דָּאָוָנָעַן צְוִילָב עַסְּנָן  
אַזִּיק אַזְּוָעָג. אַמְּתָה טָאָקָע, רְשָׁיִי  
זָאָגַט דָּאָרָטָן: אַם דָּגִיל בְּכַד – נִיטַּע  
אָפְגָעָבָן אַיְזָן מַאֲלָ צְדָקָה אָוּן דָּעַרְנָאָק  
לְלִשְׁיָה בְּנֵי אָוִיבָהָרָן, נָאָר רְגִיל  
בְּכַה, אָבָעָר פָּוּנְדָעַסְטָוּוֹעָגָן, אָפִילָוּ  
אָוִיבָעַר אַיְזָן אַיְינְגָעָוָאָוָיָנָט אַזִּי דָּעַם,  
וּוַיְאָלֵד אָבָעָר אַזִּס אַזִּז צְוִילָב אַזִּי  
פְּנֵיה אַזִּי נִטְזָא אַזִּי דָּעַר תְּקִילָת.

אַמְּתָה, די גַּמְרָא זָאָגַט<sup>2</sup>, אַזִּי מַעַן  
זָאָל לְעַנְגָּעָן תּוֹרָה אָוּן מַקְיָיִם זָיִן  
מִצְוֹת אָפִילָוּ שְׁלָא לְשֵׁמָה, פָּאָרָוָאָס  
אָבָעָר? – גִּיט די גַּמְרָא זָאָמָעָם,  
אַזִּי עַר וּוְעַט צְוּקָמָעַן צַדְקָה לִימֹוד  
הַתּוֹרָה וְקִיּוּם הַמִּצְוֹת לְשֵׁמָה.

דָּעַר אָוּפָן אָוּן תְּכִלָּת הַנְּרָצָה  
אַזִּי, נִטַּע אַזִּי דָּעַר דָּאָוָנָעַן מִיטַּע עַסְּנָן  
זָאָלְן קִיּוּן שִׁיכָוָת נִטְהָרָן, אַזִּיק  
נִטַּע דָּאָוָנָעַן צְוִילָב עַסְּנָן, נָאָר – עַסְּנָן  
צְוִילָב דָּאָוָנָעַן.

דָּאָוָנָעַן, דָּאָרָף מַעַן גַּעֲוָוִיס  
צְוִילָב דָּאָוָנָעַן, דָּאָס אַזִּי אַפְשָׁוֹטַע

9. *Vayikra* 26:3-4.

11. *Pesachim* 50b. See the Alter Rebbe's *Hilchos Talmud Torah* 4:3.

10. *Rosh HaShanah* 4a.

12. *Mishlei* 3:6.

tainly be solely for the sake of prayer. This is self-evident: When a person knows that G-d wants him to study the Torah and fulfill its *mitzvos*, his mind cannot conceive of doing anything other than what G-d has commanded. Therefore, he does not pray to receive a reward, but because this is what G-d has commanded. He does not question whether or not he will be granted a place in the World to Come as a reward for his service; most certainly, he does not seek out a reward in this world.

But this is not enough. What is desired is that one's "eating" should also be for the sake of "praying." All his affairs should be for the sake of holiness, and lead to a spiritual purpose. Moreover, in a complete sense, one should be able to sense the spiritual amidst the physical activity, as it is written,<sup>12</sup> "Know Him in all your ways."

The ultimate purpose of man's creation is not that he be wealthy, have a high rating in "Dun & Bradstreet," and be in the 95% tax bracket. Our Sages teach,<sup>13</sup> "I was created to serve my Creator." As such, all a person's affairs must be for the sake of holiness – so that he can study Torah with peace of mind, fulfill its *mitzvos* open-handedly, and give charity generously.

Moreover, one should not be satisfied with his own achievements alone. He should also strive to influence others. This is far easier when one is wealthy. We see that a poor man is not highly regarded. However, people look to the wealthy and imitate them even when they act foolishly; certainly, they emulate them when what they do is right. Consequently, when a rich man puts on *tefillin*, everyone will put on *tefillin*; when he observes *Shabbos*, everyone will observe *Shabbos*. They will do so because they will come to the conclusion that the wealthy person gained his wealth because he observed *Shabbos*.

נָאָה, בְּשַׁעַת עָרַת הָאָט אָ יְדִיעָה אָז לְעָרָה  
אוֹיְבָעַשְׁתָּעַר וּוַיְלַעַר זָאָל לְעַוְנָעָן תּוֹרָה  
אָוְן מְקִיּוֹם זַיִן מְצֹוֹת, קָעָן זַיִק בַּיְ אִים גָּאָר  
נִיט לִיְגָן אַנְדָּעָרָשׁ וּוַיְלַעַר אַוְיְבָעַשְׁתָּעַר  
הָאָט גַּעֲהִיָּסָן. וּבַמִּלְאָא דָאוּנוּט עָרַשְׁלָא  
עַל מִנְתָּה לְקַבֵּל פָּרָס, נָאָר וּוַיְלַעַר אָזָוַי הָאָט  
לְעָרָה אַוְיְבָעַשְׁתָּעַר גַּעֲהִיָּסָן. עָרַ פְּרָעָגָט  
נִיט צִי עָרַ וּוַעַט הָאָבָן דָּעַרְפָּאָר עַוְלָמָן  
הַבָּא צִי נִיט, וּמְכָל שָׁפָן אָז עָרַ פְּרָעָגָט וּטַ  
נָאָה שָׁפָר אִין עַוְלָמָהָהָה.

אָבָעָר דָּאָס אִין נָאָה וּוַיְיִנְיק. דָּאָס  
וּוְאָס עַס פָּאַדְעָרָט זַיִק אִין, אָז אַוְיִיך זַיִן  
עָסָן זָאָל זַיִן צַוְלִיב דָּאוּנוּן. אַלְעַ זַיְגָע  
עַנְיִנְים זָאָלָן זַיִן צַוְלִיב קְדוֹשָׁה, אָזָוַי וּזְיִזְרָעָל  
עַס שְׁטִיטָת בְּכָל דְּרָכֵיךְ דָּעָה.

דָּאָס וּוְאָס עָרַ וּוַעַט זַיִן אַגְּבִּיה, שְׁטִיטָן  
אִין "דָּאָן אָוָן בְּרָאַדְסְּטִירִיט" גַּאֲר הַוִּין  
אָוְן זַיִן אִין "בְּאַקְעָט" וּוְאָס צָאָל 95  
פְּרָאַצְעָנָט טַעַקְסָסָס, נָאָה נִיט דָּאָס אִין  
לְעָרַ תְּכִלָּת פָּוָן בְּרִיאָת הָאָדָם, נָאָר  
אוֹ אַלְעַ זַיְגָע עַנְיִנְים זָאָלָן זַיִן צַוְלִיב  
קְדוֹשָׁה, בְּכָדֵי עָרַ וּזָאָל לְעַוְנָעָן תּוֹרָה  
מִיטַּמְנוֹחָת הַגְּפָשָׁת, מְקִיּוֹם זַיִן מְצֹוֹת  
בְּהַרְחַבָּה, אָוָן גַּעֲבָן צְדָקָה בַּדָּרְחָה.

אָוָן נִיט בְּאַנְגָּעָנָעָן זַיִק דְּרָמִיט אַלְיִין,  
נָאָר זַעַן וּוַיְהִקְוָן אַוְיִיך אַוְיִיך אַנְדָּעָר.  
וּוְאָרָוָם דְּרָךְ דְּעָם וּוְאָס עָרַ אִין אַ  
גְּבִּיר - וּוַעַט דָּאָק אִים זַיִן גְּרִינְגְּעָר צָו  
וּוַיְהִקְוָן אַוְיִיך אַנְדָּעָר. וּוַיְמִיר זַעַעַן, אָז  
אָן אַרְעָמָאָן לְאַכְטָמָע אָוִיס, אָבָעָר  
אַגְּבִּיר אִין אַפְּלִיו וּוַעַן עָרַ טָוָט אַ  
נְאַיְשָׁקִיט טָוָט מְעָן אִים נָאָה, וּמְכָל  
שָׁכָן בְּשַׁעַת עָרַ טָוָט אַגְּלִיְיכָע זָאָה.  
וּכְמִלְאָא: בְּשַׁעַת עָרַ וּוַעַט לִיְגָן תְּפִילָין  
וּוַעַלְוָן אַלְעַ לִיְגָן תְּפִילָין, בְּשַׁעַת עָרַ  
וּוַעַט הַיְתָן שְׁבָת וּוַעַלְוָן אַלְעַ הַיְתָן שְׁבָת,  
וּוְאָרָוָם מְעָן זַעַט דָּאָק אָז פָּאַרְוָאָס אִין  
עָרַ גַּעֲוָאָרָן אַגְּבִּיר וּוַיְלַעַר עָרַ שְׁבָת,

13. *Kiddushin* 4:14.

This motif will go beyond the minimum standard of Torah observance. When a wealthy man puts on Rabbeinu Tam's *tefillin*,<sup>14</sup> everyone will also do so. And, to speak somewhat playfully, when he eats *shmura matzah*<sup>15</sup> – everyone will also do so to the extent that all the regular *matzah* bakeries will close.

This is what “eating” for the sake of ‘praying” means – that all the physical activities a person is involved with and everything that he needs (which can all be referred to as “eating”) be for the sake of “praying” (which encompasses all aspects of the study of the Torah and the observance of its *mitzvos*). Moreover, he must “live in them,”<sup>22</sup> infusing vitality into the Torah and its *mitzvos*.<sup>3</sup>

\* \* \*

The above contains a further lesson: Significantly, this directive was given to a woman, a mother of children, one of whom later became a leader of the Jewish people.

The lesson is: Raising children – whose conduct will neither be “praying” for the sake of “eating,” nor viewing praying and eating as two separate worlds, but rather that they will “eat” for the sake of “prayer” – depends on the mother.

בשעת ער ווועט ליגען רבנו תם'ס חפילין וועעלן אלע אנהויבן ליגען רבנו תם'ס תפילין, אוון בשעת ער ווועט עסן שמורא-המצות – וועעלן זיך זוממאן אלע פשוטע-עמאה-בעקעריעין, ווילע אלע וועעלן אנהויבן נוצן שמורה.

אוון דאס מײַנט “עסן צוליב דאָונען”, או אלע מענטשלעכע ענינימ אוון זיינע באָדערפֿענִישן (וּוֹאָס דָּאָס אַלְּז וווערט אַנְגָּרוֹפָּן “עַסְנָן”) דָּאָרְפָּן זַיִן צָלִיב “דָּאָונָעָן” (וּוֹאָס אַיִזְכָּלְבָּל כָּל הַתּוֹרָה וְהַמִּצְוֹת), אויף אויפְּטָאָן אַיִזְ זַיִן דָּעַם וְתִּיחַבְּהָם, אַרְיִינְבְּרָעְנְגָּעָן חַיּוֹת אֵין תּוֹרָה וְמִצְוֹת.

אַנְיִיטְעַרְדִּיקָּע אַנְוּזְוָנָג אַיִז דָּאָ פָּונְ דָּעַם אַוְבָּן דָּעַרְמָאָטָן, דָּאָס וּוֹאָס דִּי הַהְוָאָה אַיִז אַיְבָּעָרְגָּעָבָן גַּעֲזָאָרְן צַוְּאָרְ פָּרוֹי, אַמְּאָמָעָ פָּונְ קִינְדָּעָר, וּוֹאָס אַיְנְגָּעָרְ פָּונְ זַיִן אַיִז דָּעַרְנָאָךְ גַּעֲזָאָרְן אַנְשָׁאָ בְּשָׁרָאָל.

די אַנְוּזְוָנָג פָּונְ דָּעַם אַיִז:

בשעת מען ווילע עס זאלן אויסו-אָקָסָן קִינְדָּעָר וּוֹאָס זַיִעְדָּר פִּרְוָונָג זַאל זַיִן גִּיט דָּאָונָעָן צָלִיב עַסְנָן אוון נִיט אַז דָּעַר דָּאָונָעָן מִיטָּן עַסְנָן זַאלן זַיִן צָוְוִי בָּאוֹזְנָעָרָע וּוּעָלָטָן, נָאָר זַיִן זַאלן עַסְנָן צָלִיב דָּאָונָעָן –

14. There is a debate among the Rabbinic authorities regarding the order in which the passages placed in *tefillin* should be positioned. The universal practice is to follow the order required by *Rashi*. However, the more fastidious also put on a second pair of *tefillin* whose passages are positioned in the order required by Rabbeinu Tam. This is the Lubavitch

practice. See the Alter Rebbe's *Shulchan Aruch*, sec. 34:3, and the *sichos* of Purim, 5736.

15. *Shmurah* means “watched” or “protected.” According to the basic requirements of Torah Law (see the Alter Rebbe's *Shulchan Aruch*, sec. 453:14ff.), the flour used for *matzah* must be guarded so that

it does not leaven from the time it comes in contact with water onward. However, the more fastidious use only flour that was guarded from the time the wheat was ground into flour, and others, from the time it was harvested (ibid. 453:16). *Matzah* produced from flour that meets the latter requirements is referred to as *shmura matzah*.

She must conduct herself in this way herself and instill this spirit in her children from their earliest years, even when they are still in the cradle. She must imbue them with the feeling that everything they do should be for the sake of connecting with G-d. Without this, even if there is what to “eat,” it lacks taste; it lacks meaning and substance.

In this way, one raises children who will later become great Jewish leaders. They will have the spiritual resources with which to “pray” and the material resources with which to “eat,” and they will “eat” in keeping with G-d’s desires – His desire being that Jews should receive from “His full, open, holy, and generous hand”<sup>16</sup> – that they be granted, not only children in abundance but also life in abundance and sustenance in abundance.

May we be granted the fulfillment of the prophecy,<sup>17</sup> “I will pour out upon you blessing without limit,” with homes blessed spiritually and materially. May the fathers and mothers have *nachas* (“satisfaction”) – *nachas* in spiritual matters and *nachas* in material matters – from their children over the course of long and good years.

16. The wording of the third blessing of the Grace after Meals.

17. *Malachi* 3:10.

אי זאס אַפְּהָעֵגֶיק פָּוּן דָּעַר מַוְּטָעָה.  
זַי זָאַל אַלְיַין אַיְפְּפִירָן זַד אָזְוִי אָוָן  
אַרְיִינְגָּעָבָן דָּעַם גִּיסְט אַיְן דִּי קִינְגָּה  
פָּוּן קְלִיְינְוִיִּי אָוִית, וַעֲן זַיִ לִינְגָּנָךְ  
אַיְן וּגְעַלְעַ, אַרְיִינְגָּעָבָן אַיְן זַיִ אַט דָּעַם  
גַּעֲפִיל אֹז אַלְעַ עַנְיִינִים דָּאָרְפָּן זַיִן צּוֹלִיב  
בָּאַהֲעַפְּטָן זַיִ מִיטָּן אַיְבָּעָרְשָׁטָן, אָוָן אַנְּ  
דָּעַם – אַיְן אַפְּיָלוּ אָוִיב עַס אַיְן דָּאַן אַס  
צַּו “עָסָן”, הָאַט עַס קִינְגָּן טָעַם נִיטָּה.

אָוָן דָּאַן הַאֲדֻעָוּנָעַט מַעַן אָוָיס  
אַזְעַלְכְּבָעָ קִינְדָּעָר וּוּלְכָעָ וּוּרְוָן דָּעַרְנָאָךְ  
גָּדוֹלִים בִּישְׁרָאֵל, אֹז זַיִ הַאָבָן מִיט וּוּאָס  
צַּו דָּאָוּנְגָּעָן אָוָן הַאָבָן וּוּאָס צַּו עָסָן, אָוָן  
עָסָן וּזַי דָּעַר אַיְבָּעָרְשָׁטָר וּוּלְיָ, וּוּאָס זַיִן  
רָצְחָן אַיְן דָּאַךְ, אֹז אַדְזָן זָאַלְן הַאָבָן מִידָּוּ  
הַמְּלָאָה הַפְּתֻוחָה תְּקִדּוּשָׁה וְהַרְחָבָה, אֹז  
עַס אַיְן נִיט נָאַר בְּנֵי רְוִיחָי, נָאַר אַיְיךְ חִיִּי  
רְוִיחָי וּמַזְוִינִי רְוִיחָי.

עַס זָאַל זַיִן דָּעַר וְהַרְקֹותִי לְכָם  
בָּרְכָה עַד בְּלִי דִּי, אַ גַּעֲבָעָנְטָשְׁטָע הַוַּיִּוִּי  
אַיְן רְוִחָנִיות אָוָן אַ גַּעֲבָעָנְטָשְׁטָע הַוַּיִּוִּי  
אַיְן גְּשָׁמִיות, אָוָן דָּעַר פָּאָטָעָר מִיט דָּעַר  
מוֹטָעָר זָאַלְן הַאָבָן נִחְתָּפָן זַיִ לְאָוָרָךְ  
יְמִים וְשָׁנִים טּוּבָות, נִחְתָּאָיִן רְוִחָנִיות  
אָוָן נִחְתָּאָיִן גְּשָׁמִיות.

(משיחת יו"ד שבט, תש"ט)

יב) פסחים ג,ב. עיין הלכות תלמוד תורה  
לרבנו הוקן פ"ד ס"ג.

ג) היום יומ – י" שבט תש"ג.  
יא) ראש השנה ד,א.



**CREDITS:**

Copyright by Sichos in English

Rabbi Eliyahu Touger  
Rabbi Sholom Ber Wineberg  
*Translators*

Rabbi Sholom Zirkind  
Rabbi Zalmy Avtzon  
*Content Editors*

Uriella Sagiv  
*Copy Editor*

Yosef Yitzchok Turner  
*Typesetting*

Spotlight Design  
*Layout and Cover*

Mayer Preger  
*Advisory Board*

Rabbi Shmuel Avtzon  
*Director, Sichos In English*

הרב"ת ר' משה יהודה בן ר' צבי יוסף ע"ה  
Rabbi Moshe Kotlarsky OBM,  
*whose commitment has brought this sacred initiative to fruition*

