

NEW

ליקוטי שליחות

משפטים MISHPATIM

VOLUME 1, P. 155-162

LIKKUTEI SICHOS

INSIGHTS INTO THE WEEKLY PARSHA
BY THE LUBAVITCHER REBBE

Dedicated to

Emmanuel (Mendel) Karp

1905 - 1989

son of Bernard (Berl) and Rose (Rezele)



Manny Karp was the first of his family born in the United States, in 1905. His first language was Yiddish. Losing his mother to the Spanish Flu shaped his resilience and commitment to helping others, ultimately leading to a career as a guidance counselor in Philadelphia public schools. He also served many years as the director of Camp Akiba in the Poconos.

Karp's legacy is characterized by his optimism and unwavering dedication to learning and mentorship. Emmanuel Karp died in 1989.

MISHPATIM I | משפטים א

Adapted from *sichos* delivered on *Simchas Torah* and *Shabbos Bereishis*, 5715 (1954)

Introduction

One of the hallmarks of a successful communicator is the ability to convey a message in a manner that can be easily and comfortably accepted by his listeners. Even when his theme is one which could easily cause them to feel threatened, he knows how to couch it in terms and frameworks that they can understand and accept without being intimidated.

The *sichah* that follows is a prime example. The Rebbe saw that portions of American Jewry were becoming conformist, taking on the norms of contemporary Western society instead of standing out as individuals and preserving a Jewish way of life. Seeking to warn against such an approach, he highlighted the threat this posed to Jewish continuity. But watch how he communicates that message!

He begins with a seemingly straightforward discussion of Jewish law regarding lost and entrusted articles and a guardian's responsibility for them. Through careful analysis, he transforms this legal framework into a powerful metaphor about spiritual accountability.

Rather than directly criticizing his audience for faults they may possess, he helps them discover how their Divine soul – a precious article that G-d entrusted to them – requires vigilant protection against the influences that might diminish its unique character.

Using the four types of lost articles mentioned in the Torah as archetypes for spiritual failings, he notes that the Talmud finds the Torah's mention of lost sheep a matter that is particularly difficult to understand. In a spiritual light, the Rebbe explains, the difficulty is in the very possibility of a Jew becoming a lost sheep.

A Jew is *Yisrael* – someone with a distinctive and unique potential that can overcome all challenges.¹ The Rebbe asks – and challenges – his readers: How can it be that a Jew becomes lost, caught up in the sway of a culture that is not his or her own?

Masterfully weaving together legal discourse and spiritual guidance, the Rebbe heightens our awareness of the Divine soul we all possess and provides direction that empowers each of us to express it in our daily conduct.

1. See *Bereishis* 32:29.

When Lost Souls Return

Legal Claims, Spiritual Parallels

1. This week's Torah reading states,¹ "Concerning all charges of deceit... regarding any lost article about which one will allege, *Ki hu ze* ('It is this'), the claims of both parties shall come before the judges.² Whoever the judges declare guilty shall pay double to his fellow."

The Talmud³ explains that this verse refers to a guardian who claims that the entrusted item was lost while in his possession, a claim that would normally exempt him from payment. {For an unpaid guardian, this exemption applies when he claims the article was lost through theft or misplacement, while for a paid guardian it applies when he claims the article was lost as a result of unavoidable factors (*oness*).} When the guardian takes an oath to support his claim, and later it is revealed that he had been negligent with the deposit and had taken a false oath,⁴ the law is that "the claims of both parties... come before the judges," and the guardian is required to "pay double to his fellow."

As has been frequently discussed, all matters within *nigleh*, the revealed, primarily legalistic dimension of Torah, have spiritual counterparts. Indeed, it is precisely because these matters exist in the spiritual realm that they subsequently become manifest in the revealed dimension of the Torah, for every entity or concept in the material plane derives from its spiritual source.

1. *Shmos* 22:8.

2. The word *elokim* – translated as "judges" – also serves as a name for G-d, *Elokim*, referring specifically to the attribute of G-dliness associated

with judgment.

3. *Bava Kama* 106b, 107b.

4. See sec. 10 of the *maamar* entitled *Mashbi'an oso* (*Kitzurim Ve-Ha'aros LeTanya*, p. 57ff). There it is

א. אין כיינטיקער סדרה שטייט, על כל דבר פושע גו' על כל אבידה אשר יאמר כי הוא זה עד האלקים יבא דבר שנייהם גו' ישלם שניים לרעהו. זאגט די גמרא, או דער פסוק רעדט וועגן א שומר, וויאס טענה'ט או דער פקדון אייז בי אים פארלאון געגאנגען, וויאס מיט דער טענה ווערט ער פטור פון צו באצאלן: בי' א שומר חנם – טענת גניבה ואבידה און בי' א שומר שכר – טענת אונסן, און ער האט געשוואן אויף זיין טעה, און צום סוף האט זיך ארויסגעוויזן, או ער האט גאר פושע געוויען איין דעם פקדון און מועל געוויען⁵ איין דער שבואה – אייז דער דין: עד האלקים יבא דבר שנייהם גו' ישלם שניים לרעהו.

מען האט שויין גערעדט פיל מאל, או אלע בענינים פון גלייא דתורה זיין פאראן אויך אין רוחנית, און איזרבה, וויל דע ענינים זיין פאראן אין רוחנית דערפאר קומען זיין דערנאה. אראפ אין גלייא.

explained that there is a connection between *mashbi'an*, "cause to take an oath," and *masbia*, "satisfy," or "sate," as in the verse (*Tehillim* 145:16), "[You] satisfy every living being."

Similarly, parallels to the laws concerning negligence, misappropriation of a deposit, taking a false oath, and the verdict – that “the claims of both parties shall come before the judges” – also exist in the spiritual realm.

אויך דער דין פון פשיעה, שליחות יד בפקדון, מעילה בשבועה און דער פסק דין – עד האלקים יבָּא דבר שנייהם וגוו, זיין אוייך דא אין רוחניות.

Defining the True Reality

2. The spiritual counterpart of the above concepts is reflected in a *maamar* delivered by the *Tzemach Tzedek*⁵ interpreting the verse cited above, “Concerning all charges of misappropriation....” The *maamar* explains how the verse applies to the spiritual service within a person’s soul.

To review a portion of this discourse relevant to our topic while making several possible additions:

Every Jew has been entrusted by G-d with an article – his soul – for safekeeping.⁶ He is charged with guarding it, ensuring that it remains intact and unblemished. Moreover, he must work to elevate the soul to an even higher level than before its descent into this world. Indeed, that is the reason for the soul’s descent, for the soul’s descent into the body is “a descent for the purpose of ascent, as is well-known.”⁷

Every Jew takes an oath to watch over his soul. As our Sages state,⁸ when a soul is about to descend below, “It is administered an oath, ‘Be righteous and do not be wicked.’”

ב. בכוד קדשת אדוננו מורהנו ורבנו דער צמח צדק נשפטו עדן, טיטשט אויס אין אמאמר דעם פסוק על כל דבר פשע גו, אין דער עבודה רוחנית פון נפש האדם.

וועט מען איבערריין א טיל פון דעם מאמר וואס איז שיין דערצוי מיט אייניקע הוספות בדרכ אפָּשָׁר:

בי' יעדר אידן געפינט זיך דעם אויבערשטינס א פקדון, דאס איז די נשמה,⁹ וועלכע ער דארף היטן, זי זאל איבערבליבין בשלימות און ניט נגמ ווערין חס ושלות, און נאך מעור, מעלה גיזין די נשמה נאך העכער ווי זי איז געוווען פאר דער ירידה, ווי באוואסט או ירידת הנשמה בגוף איז – ירידה צורך עלייה.

די שמירה וואס א איז דארף היטן די נשמה, איז פארבונדן אויך מיט א שבועה, כמאמר רוזל, או בשעת א נשמה דארף ארפיגין למיטה, משבייען אוטו תהי צדיק ואל תהי רשע.

5. The identity of the exact *maamar* to which the Rebbe referred is unclear. Some of the concepts mentioned in the *sichah* are found in *Or HaTorah*, *Shmos*, *Mishpatim*, p. 1076ff. There are also *maamarim* from the Rebbe *Maharash* (*Toras Shmuel*, 5634, pp. 128ff., p. 192ff.) that dwell on these subjects. As is well known, many of the Rebbe *Maharash*’s *maamarim* are based

on *maamarim* from his father, the *Tzemach Tzedek*. It is possible that the *maamarim* from *Toras Shmuel* cited above were based on *maamarim* from the *Tzemach Tzedek* that are not extant.

6. *Shabbos* 152b, *et al.*

7. This term, borrowed out of context from *Makkos* 7b, is a fundamental chassidic principle, implying that

all spiritual descents ultimately lead to a higher purpose.

8. *Nidah* 30b; cited in the beginning of *Tanya*. Based on the connection between *mashbiyan*, “cause to take an oath,” and *masbia*, “satisfy,” mentioned in footnote 4, taking “an oath” is interpreted as satiating the soul with sufficient spiritual potentials to overcome the challenges involved in its descent to this material world.

However, given the nature of this world, there is the possibility of negligence and deceit; the treasured energies that the soul has been given may be misused. In that vein, the verse continues, “Concerning all charges of deceit...” – referring to a situation in which a person is negligent with the soul that was entrusted to him and violates the oath.

What leads to the misappropriation of the soul’s energies? The nature of instigators is reflected in the verse, which names “an ox, a donkey, a sheep, and a garment.” As will be explained, each of these represents different types of tendencies within the animal soul⁹ that lead to “any lost article” – the Divine soul becoming lost, (Heaven forbid). This culminates in the person mistakenly saying, *Ki hu zeh*, “It is this.”¹⁰ *Hu*, “it,” and *zeh*, “this,” can both be seen as metaphoric terms. Because his soul becomes lost in this world, he errs and mistakes *hu*, “it,” an indefinite term, referring to something whose identity is vague, for *zeh*, “this,” the true reality. Material existence rather than spiritual truth is then considered *zeh*, what is genuine and real.

In a true and ultimate sense, *zeh* (“this”) refers solely to holiness and G-dliness. That term cannot be used regarding anything other than G-d. There are two reasons for this absolute statement:

a) In general, *zeh* means “this is what is.” Such definitive and absolute existence can only be attributed to G-d. The apparent existence of a created being – what we see – is not its true reality. Its true reality is the Divine life-force that continuously brings it into existence from absolute nothingness. In the words of *Rambam*,¹⁰ “There is no true existence other than He.”

b) *Zeh* also implies “here it is,” meaning wherever and whenever one is, it is present; about it, one can always say “here it is.” This type of omnipresence can only apply to G-dliness because every created being is limited and does not exist everywhere. Therefore, the term *zeh* cannot be said about a created being. By contrast, “The name

“על כל דבר פשע”, אז עד אין א פושע אין פקדון און מועל אין דער שבועה, – מצד וואס קומט עס? זאגט דער פסוק – “על שור על חמור על שה ועל שלמה”, דאס זיינען פרטן סוגים אין דעם נפש הבהמית (פדרהן), וועלכע ברענגן דעם “על כל אבידה”, אונ דער נפש האלקות וווערט רחמנא לצלן פארלאן. ביז “אשר יאמר כי הוא זה”, אונ אויף “הוא” זאגט עד אונ אס איז זה.

“זה” – באמיתית המוכן. גיט אויף קדושה ואלקות. אויף קיין זאך קען מען ניט זאגן: “זה”, נאר אויף דעם אוובערשון, מצד צווי טעםם:

א) “זה” מינט: דאס אין “דאס”, און “דאס” קען מען נאר אויבן אויבערשון. נברא איז דאך זיין מציאות ניט ער, נאר דער חיות אלקי וואס איז אים מהו פטיד מאין ליש, ובלשון רומי: אין שם מצוי אמת מלבדו.

ב) “זה” מינט: את דא איז דאס, וווען מען איז און וואז מען איז קען מען זאגן, את איז דאס. און דאס איז שייך נאר אויף אלקות, וויארום יעדר נברא איז מוגבל, ער איז ניט אומעטום, ובמילא איז ניט שייך זאגן אויף דעם “זה”. אבער שם שם איז שגור בפי

9. The vitality granted to a person that grants life to his body, controls his conscious functioning, and is

the source for his natural drives and tendencies.

10. *Mishneh Torah, Hilchos Yesodei HaTorah* 1:4.

of Heaven is continually on everyone's lips.”¹¹ Only regarding G-d, who exists everywhere, of whom it is said “no place is void of Him,”¹² can one say “here He is” – *zeh*.

However, due to the concealment and hiding of Divine truth caused by the animal soul, one can err and confuse things, referring to *hu* “it,” material reality, as *zeh* “this,” a term that should be reserved for G-d.

כל: נאר דער אויבערשטער וואס ער איז דא אומעטום, דלית אטער פָּנוּי מִנִּיה, אֵיז אָוִיף אִים שְׂיִיד זָאָן, אֵט אֵיז ער – “זֶה.”

אָבָעֶר מִצְדָּךְ דָּעַם הָעָלָם וְהַסְּתָּר פָּוּנִים נְפָשָׁה הַבְּהָמִית פָּאָרְבִּיט מַעַן אָנוּן מַעַן זָאָגֶט אָוִיף “הָוָא” אָז דָּאָס אֵיז “זֶה.”

The Possibility of Spiritual Loss

3. In the *maamar* cited previously, the *Tzemach Tzedek* explains the counterparts of the four categories mentioned in the verse, an ox, a donkey, a sheep, and a garment, as they exist within the animal soul. An ox refers to a “goring ox,” an ox known to gore other animals or people without provocation.¹³ A donkey refers to spiritual frigidity, one who “feels cold [even] in the heat of summer”¹⁴ – the intense warmth of holiness. Another term for a garment, *salmah*, is *beqed*. That term shares its root letters with the word *boged*, meaning “[to] act treacherously,” as in the verse,¹⁵ “the treacherous ones have dealt treacherously.” Also, the garments refer to our powers of thought, speech, and action,¹⁶ which can be soiled when they are misappropriated. Lastly, “sheep” results in the Jewish people reaching the state where “Yisrael are like scattered sheep.”¹⁷

One must guard against all these types of negative tendencies stemming from the animal soul, for they can all lead to the loss of the G-dly soul (Heaven forbid). Nevertheless, in every era, there is a particular *kelipah* (evil tendency), against which one must stand guard with special vigilance. Therefore, we will focus primarily on explaining the concept of “sheep,” which is particularly relevant to our generation.

ג. אֵין דִּי פְּרַטִּי הַמְּדֻרְגּוֹת פָּוּנִים נְפָשָׁה הַבְּהָמִית, וְוָאָס דָּעַר פְּסָוק אֵיז זַיִן כּוֹלֵל אֵין דִּי פִּיר סָוגִים: שָׂוָר, חַמּוֹר, שָׁה אָנוּ שְׁלָמָה, אֵיז בְּבָוד קְרָשָׁת אַדְמָוֶר הַצְמָח צְדָקָה מְבָאָר אֵין מָאָמָר, אֵיז שָׂוָר מִינְנָת שָׂוָר נְגָה. חַמּוֹר – חַמְרָא בַּתְּקוֹפָת תָּמָנוֹן קְרִירָא לִיה. שְׁלָמָה – וּבְגַד בּוֹגְדִים בְּגַדּוֹ, אָנוּ שָׁה – שָׁה פּוֹרָה יִשְׂרָאֵל.

הָגָם מַעַן דָּאָרֶף זַיִן אָוִיסְהִיטָּן פָּוּנִים אַלְעָסָוִי נְפָשָׁה הַבְּהָמִית, וְוָאָרָום זַיִן אַלְעָסָעָן בְּרַעֲנָגָעָן צַו אֲבִידָת נְפָשָׁה הַאֲלָקִית רַחְמָנָא לְצַלָּן, פּוֹנְדָעָסְטּוּעָגָן, אֵיז אֵין יַעֲדָר זַמָּן דָּא זַיִן קְלִיפָּה, אָנוּ מַעַן דָּאָרֶף זַיִן שְׁטָעָלָן בִּיחּוֹד אַנְטְּקָעָגָן אַיְר. דָּעָרְפָּאָר וּוּעָט מַעַן זַיִן אָפְּשָׁטָעָלָן בְּעֵיקָר אָוִיף מְבָאָר זַיִן דָּעַם עַנְנִין פָּוּנִים “שָׁה”, וְוָאָס דָּאָס אֵיז נָגָע בִּיחּוֹד אֵין אָגָּזָעָר דָּוָר.

11. Literally, “in the mouths of all.” See *Sefer HaMaamarim* 5709, p. 20, footnote 132. See also *Torah Or*, p. 14b, which states, “even infants know that G-d exists.”

12. *Tikkunei Zohar*, *Tikkun* 57.

13. See *Shmos* 21:29.

14. *Shabbos* 53a. “Summer” is being used as a translation for “the season of Tammuz,” the Jewish month that falls in the midst of the summer.

15. *Yeshayahu* 24:16.

16. See *Tanya*, ch. 3.

17. *Yirmeyahu* 50:17. The verse is referring to the state of the Jewish people in exile.

When the Strong Show Weakness

4. The verse, “Yisrael are like scattered sheep,” is problematic: “Yisrael” is the loftier name of the Jewish people. By contrast, the description “scattered sheep” indicates a deficiency. Why then does the verse specifically mention the superior name, Yisrael, when describing the state of the Jewish people as “scattered sheep”?

This is precisely the issue that troubled the Prophet Yirmeyahu. He observed that the Jews are distinguished by the name “Yisrael,” a name given because, “you have contended (*sarisa*) with angels (*elokim*) and with men and have prevailed.”¹⁸ This name implies that a Jew has the capacity to be master not only over men but even over angels. How then is it possible for him to act like a docile sheep, without showing inner strength, allowing himself to be drawn after every outside influence to the extent that he becomes even like a lost sheep?!

This was also the claim of Haman in the Purim story.¹⁹ The charge he made on the earthly plane to his king, Achashveirosh, represents the charge the heavenly prosecutor made before G-d, the King, *she'achris vereishis shelo*, “to whom the end and the beginning belong.”²⁰ Both Haman and the heavenly prosecutor claimed, “There is one nation scattered and dispersed among the peoples... and they do not keep the king’s laws.”²¹ The deeper meaning of this claim is: “There is one nation” – there exists a nation whose very essence is *echad* (oneness), i.e., they have the power to establish unity everywhere, even “on the earth,” as it is written “one nation on the earth.”²² However, despite their inherent greatness, they are “scattered and dispersed among the peoples” – they are swayed and influenced by everyone and,

ד. אויף דעם וואס עס שטיט
שה פורה יישראל, פרעגט מען
א קשיא: יישראל אויז דאך א שם
המעלה, אוון שה פורה אוין א
חסרון, אוין פארזונאס בשעת ער
ויל זאגן שה פורה, דערמאנט
ער יישראל דוקא? נאר דער ענין
אוין, או דאס פרעגט תאקע דער
נבייא. וויבאלד או אידן וווערנ
באצ'יכנט מיט דעם נאמען יישראל
- כי שריית עס אלקים ועם אנשיהם
וותוכל, דאס הייסט או א איד
האט ביכול צו זיין א בעל-הבית -
- שריית - ניט נאר אויף מענטשן -
אנשיהם - נאר אויך אויך מלאכיהם
- אלקים - היינט ווי קומט עס או
ער האט ניט אין זיך קיין תוקף, ביז
או ער שלעפט זיך נאר נאך יעדר
איינעם, ער אוין אשה פורה?!

און דאס אויך געוווען די
טענה פון חמן ען, דער מקטרג אין
הימל, צו אחשוווז זה הקדוש
ברוך הוא שאחרית וראשית שלו:
ישנו עס אחד מאפר ומאפרד בין
העמים גו' ואות דת הפלך אינט
עושים. “ישנו עס אחד”, עס
אוין דא א פאלק וואס זיין מהות
אוין – אחד, זיין קאנען מאכן אחד
אומעטום, ביז באָרֶץ, וויעס שטיט
גוי אחד באָרֶץ, און ניט קוקניך
אויך זיעדר גראָזקִיט, זיין
זיין מאפר ומאפרד בין העמים, זיין
ווערנ נטפעל פון יעדר אײַנעם,

18. Bereishis 32:29.

Megilah carry more relevance.

19. Parshas Mishpatim is often read on the Shabbos on which the month of Adar – the month in which Purim is celebrated – is blessed. Accordingly, the references to Haman and the

20. *Me'orei Or, maareches os alef os* 182. The name Achashveirosh phonetically resembles the words *she'achris vereishis shelo*.

21. Esther 3:8.

22. II Shmuel 7:23. The mission of the Jewish people is to reveal G-d’s oneness in all matters, even those that are earthly.

consequently, “they do not keep the laws of the King” – the King of the Universe.

ובמילא, ואת דת המלך – מלכו של עולם – איום עושים.

A Giver or a Taker

5. The way things should be is that wherever a Jew goes, he should be able to control the direction of the spiritual climate – which constitutes the true reality – of the entire city, the entire surroundings, the entire country. Instead, he becomes lost. Not only does he fail to influence others, but he becomes influenced by them, mimicking even the foolish practices of the country, the environment, and the city.

In all matters, instead of influencing his entire environment to recognize that Torah Law²³ should be the ultimate authority, the surrounding environment becomes his authority. And the final authority, the one according to whom he establishes his entire household’s conduct and lifestyle is “the next-door neighbor.” He makes decisions depending on what he thinks other people will say and how he appears in their eyes.

ה. דער סדר ווי עס דארף זיין איין או איד וואו ער קומט, דארף ער אונפירן מיט דער (רווחניות, וואס דאס איין די אמתע מציאות, פון זער) גאנצער שטאט, מיט דעם גאנצן ארום, מיט דער גאנצער מדינה. און אונשטאט דעם, פארלירט ער זיך, או גיט נאר וווערט נאך א מושפע, ער נאר ער וווערט נאך א מושפע, ער פון דער סביבה און פון דער שטאט.

אונשטאט דעם וואס בי יעדר ער זאך דארף ער פועלן אויף דער גאנצער סביבה או דער שלחן ערוץ זאל זיין זיער פוסק, אונשטאט דעם, איין דער פוסק בי אים די סביבה, און דער “עקלט-דאר” – דער שכן – איין בי אים דער פוסק אחרון, וואס לויט דעם שטעלט ער איין זיין גאנצער הנחתת הפית.

To Be Proud of Who We Are

6. The fault of acting like “scattered sheep” – failing to show inner strength – extends so far that it is evident even in the education of children. They are the hope and foundation of every Jewish home and the entire Jewish people. But instead of Jewish identity being the foundation of the education and training they give their children, parents allow the outside environment to control the spirit of the home.

Consider: Even when the Jews were in the harshest exile – the Egyptian exile – “the Jewish people maintained their distinctiveness there.”²⁴

ו. דער עניין פון שה פוזה ישראלי וואס עס פעלט דער תוקף, אויף אויף פון די קינדר ער וואס זיין זינען דאך די האפענונג און יסוד פון יעדר ער הויז פון דעם גאנצן אידישן פאלק, אויך איין דעם זעט זיך אן או עס פעלט דער תוקף.

אויף איין איפילו איין שווערטטען גלוות, גלוות מצרים, שטייט, מלמד שהיו ישראלי מציגים שם,

23. In the original, the Rebbe uses the term, the *Shulchan Aruch*, referring to the basic code of Jewish Law.

24. The Pesach *Hagadah*, based on *Sifri*, *Devarim* 26:5.

“They did not change their names, language, or dress.”²⁵ Yet in our time, people lack such inner strength. Instead, they seek all manner of strategies to ensure that their child’s Jewish identity not be noticed.

When a Jewish child walks in the street, it should be apparent from afar that a Jew is walking, for “Yisrael” is a name of honor. Instead, people are actually ashamed of their Jewishness and try by all means possible to conceal it.

שלא שנו את שמם לשונם ולבושם, או
אנשטייט דעם, האט מען אין אינזער ציינט
אין זיך ניט קיין תוקף, און מען זוכט אלע
תחכחות, עס זאל ניט זיין קענטיק אויפן
קייד או ער אין איד.

דארכן דארכן זיין, אונ בשעת א אידישער
קינד גייט אין גאס דארכן נאך פון דער
וווײַטן זיין קענטיק או עס גייט א איד,
ווארום יִשְׂרָאֵל איז זאך א שם המעללה,
אייז אָנְשְׁטַאַט דעם, שעטט מען זיך
גאָר דערמיט און מען זעט מיט אלע
מעגַָלְעָכְקִיטַן עס אָוִיסְצּוּבָהָאלְטַן.

Understanding the Inner Meaning

7. The prevalence of the above attitude among the Jewish people today provides the basis for a deeper understanding of a passage in the Gemara.²⁶

Concerning the law obligating the return of a lost object, the Gemara asks: Why does the Torah need to mention “sheep”? And the Gemara concludes, *Seh d’aveidah kashya*, which means, “A [lost] sheep presents a difficulty.”

In the literal sense, the Gemara is saying that when the Torah states that a lost object must be returned, there is reason to specifically mention an ox, a donkey, and a garment because, as stated in that passage, each possesses a novel aspect that, had they not been singled out specifically, we would not know that it is necessary to return them. However, it seems obvious that a lost sheep must be returned. What new concept is being taught by the Torah’s mention of it? That is why the Gemara concludes, “[the mention of] a [lost] sheep presents a difficulty.”

What then does the sheep teach us?

ז. לוייט דעם קען מען אויך דערקלען,
וועאָס די גָּמְרָא פְּרָעָגֶט בַּיִּ דְּעַם דִּין פָּוּן
אָבָּדָה, שֶׁהָדְכַּתְבָּרְךָמְנָא לְמַה לִי, אַיִן
די גָּמְרָא זָאָגַט, שֶׁהָדְאָבָּדָה קְשִׁיאָ (טָאִי
קָא מְשֻׁמָּעַ לֵן אָז מְדָאָרָף אָוְמָקָעָרָן –
פְּשִׁיטָא). בַּיִּ שְׂוֹר חָמָר וְשְׁלָמָה אַיִן זָאָ
אַחֲדָוָשׁ פָּאָרוֹנוֹאָס מְאַיִן מְחַזֵּיר די אָבָּדָה
(כְּדָמִיפְּרָשׁ בְּגָמְרָא), אָבָּעָר נִיטְבִּי שָׁה.
וועאָס טוֹט מען טאָקָע מִיטָּן שָׁה? לְוִיט

25. Concerning their names and language, see *Mechilta, Shmos* 12:6, *Vayikra Rabbah* 32:5; concerning

the garments, see *Midrash Lekach Tov, Parshas Va’era Shmos* 6:6.

26. *Bava Metzia* 27a.

Based on the points mentioned previously, we can explain the passage in terms of its counterparts in a person's Divine service, albeit briefly. A goring ox represents a person easily provoked to anger, to the extent that he takes violent action. A donkey represents one who remains cold despite "the sun of G-d"²⁷ shining "in the heat of summer." A garment represents those who have sullied their garments²⁸ by betraying the Torah and its *mitzvos*.

In all these cases, there is a novel dimension regarding their ability to return to G-d, and their *teshuva* being accepted, for to return, they need to overcome strong inner, spiritual challenges. However, what is difficult to understand about the possibility of a lost sheep repenting? It is merely lost among the nations. Seemingly, such an individual is not a hardened sinner. When the Gemara singles out the lost sheep and asks: Why must its return be mentioned? – metaphorically, it is saying that it appears to be self-understood that such individuals can do *teshuva*. If a person identified as an ox with a rebellious nature can do *teshuva* and, similarly, those as identified with the other categories in the verse, can also do *teshuva*, how much more so is this possible for one identified as merely a lost sheep!

The Gemara's answer, *Seh d'aveidah kashya*,²⁹ literally, "A lost sheep presents a difficulty," can be understood as a commentary on the effort such an individual must invest in returning to G-d. His *teshuva* is actually the most difficult. He lacks the very foundation of Jewish law and practice – the inner fortitude to carry out the directive, "Do not be embarrassed before those who mock."³⁰ That instruction is so cardinal to Jewish observance that it is placed at the very beginning of the four portions of the basic handbooks of Jewish Law, the *Tur* and the *Shulchan Aruch*.

How will such sheeplike individuals ever approach Torah Law?³¹ From where will they derive the inner strength

וְיֵמֶן הָאָט גַּעֲרָעֶדֶת פְּרִיעֶר
קָעָן מַעַן דָּאַס דְּעַרְקָלָעָרָן אִין
עֲבֹזֶת הָאָדָם (בְּקִיצָּר עַל כָּל
פְּנִים): א) שָׂוָר – נָגָח. ב) חָמָז
– וְוָאָס נִיטָּקָוְנְדִּיק אָוִיפָּה
שְׁמַמְשָׁה ה' בְּתֹזְקָרְפָּת תְּמֹוֹז
אִיז קְרִירָא לְהָ. ג) שְׁלָמָה –
בְּגָד בּוֹגְדִּים בְּתֹרָה וּמִצּוֹת
– אִיז אַחִידּוֹשׁ אֹז זַי קְעַנְעָן
זַיְךְ אָוְמָקָעָרָן וְשָׁב וְרָפָא לֹוּ
אָבָעָר שָׁה פּוֹזָה, מַעַר נִיטָּקָה
וְוָאָס זַי פָּאָרְלִירָט זַיְה בִּין
הָעָמִים, אִיז מַאי קָא מַשְׁמָעָן
לָן אֹז מַקְעָרָט אָוּס דִּי אַבָּהָה,
עַס אִיז דָּאָק אָכָל שְׁכָנָן פּוֹן שָׂוָר
וּכְוּ. עַנְטָפָעָרָט מַעַן אַדְרָבָה
שָׁה דָּאָבָּהָ קְשִׁיָּא – דָּאָס אִיז
אַמְשָׁוּעָרְסָטָן אַוְמְצָוָקָעָרָן.
אוּס אִיז נִיטָא דָעָר יִסּוּד
וְהַתְּחִלָּה פּוֹן אַלְעַפְּרָר חַלְקִים
טוֹר וְשַׁלְחָן עֲרוֹקָה, דָעָר אַלְיּוֹשָׁן
מִפְנֵי הַמְלָעִיגִים – וְיֵוּט עַר
קוּמָעָן צָוָם שַׁלְחָן עֲרוֹקָה? אִיז

27. Cf. *Tehillim* 86:12.

the analogy of garments.

30. *Rama, Orach Chayim* 1:1.

28. See *Tanya*, ch. 3, which explains that thought, speech, and action are the garments of the soul. Also, *Tanya*, ch. 4, refers to *mitzvos* with

29. See the *Zohar*, Vol. III, p. 124b, which states, "A *kashya* [originates] in the forces of evil."

31. The literal translation of these words is "the *Shulchan Aruch*," the fundamental code of Jewish Law.

to reverse his conduct? This is the new concept that the Torah is teaching by mentioning a sheep. Even such a lost person can be returned to his Jewish roots, for “Nothing can stand in the way of *teshuvah*.³²

דָּאָס אִין דָּעַר חִידּוֹשׁ אָז אָזָא אַבְדָּה
קָשָׁה אִין מְעַן אָוֵן מְחַזּוּר. אִין לְהָ
דָּבָר הָעָמֵד בְּפָנֵי הַתְּשׁוּבָה.

The Path to Return

8. The continuation of the verse from the Torah portion cited at the outset provides a directive and a solution that applies when one acts negligently with an entrusted object, “The claims of both parties shall come before the judges.”

The spiritual counterpart of that directive also applies when the soul becomes lost – and perhaps even deceitfully – because of the spiritual counterparts of an ox, donkey, garment, or even a sheep. “The judges” refer to the first judge, Moshe, and “the extension of Moshe in every generation.”³³ It is a Moshe who can empower a Jew do *teshuvah* in a desirable manner.

The Torah’s verdict continues, “He shall pay double to his fellow.” “His fellow” refers to G-d.³⁴ A *baal teshuvah* (“penitent”) pays G-d in a double measure, intensifying the Divine service he performed before the sin, as stated in *Igeres HaTeshuvah*,³⁵ “If he was accustomed to study one page, he should study two pages....”

When one makes such an effort, G-d responds measure for measure: The transgressor’s intentional sins are transformed into merits;³⁶ moreover, even his animal soul assists in his Divine service.

Specifically, one whose animal soul previously expressed itself as a goring ox will now bring about “abundant harvest through the strength of an ox.”³⁷ Similarly, the donkey refers to one whose Divine ser-

ח. עַר זָאָגֶט וַיְיִטְעַר אִין פָּסּוֹק
פָּוֹן דָּעַר סְדָרָה, אָז דָּעַר פָּסְקָדִין אָז
דִּי עַצְחָ צַו דָּעַר פְּשִׁיעָה שְׁבַּפְּקָדָן
שְׁבַּבְּסַבְּתָה שַׂוְר חַמּוֹר שְׁלָמָה אָז
אַפְּיָילִי שָׁה אִיז: “עַד הַאַלְקִים
– דִּיְנִיא – יִבָּא דָבָר שְׁנִים,”
וּוֹאָס דָּאָס גִּיְתָּ אָוִיפָּן עַרְשָׁטָן
דִּין – מְשָׁה’ אָז אָז אַתְּפָשְׁטוֹתָה
דְּמָשָׁה בְּכָל דָּרָא וְדָרָא*, וּוֹאָס עַר
גִּיְתָּ דָעַם כּוֹחַ אָוִיךְ טָאָן תְּשׁוּבָה
כְּדָבָעִי – “יִשְׁלָמָם שְׁנִים לַרְעוֹה,”
רַעְהֹו גִּיְתָּ אָוִיפָּן אַוְיְבָעָרְשָׁטָן, עַר
וּוֹעַט בָּאַצְּאָלָן דָעַם אַוְיְבָעָרְשָׁטָן
בְּכַפְּלִים, וּוֹי קְוָדָם הַחַטָּא, וּוֹעַס
שְׁטִיעִיט אִין אַגְּרָת הַתְּשׁוּבָה: הַהִ
רְגִּיל לְקָרוֹת דָּף אַחֵד יִקְרָא שְׁנִי
דְּפָים כֹּו’.

אָז דָעַמְאָלָט וּוֹעַט אִים דָעַר
אַוְיְבָעָרְשָׁטָעָר גַּעֲבָן מְדָה כְּנֶגֶד
מְדָה, אֹז אָוִיךְ דִּי זְדוֹנוֹת וּנְעָלָן
וּוֹעֲרָן בְּזָכִיות, אָז אָוִיךְ דָעַר נְפָשָׁ
הַבְּהִמָּת וּוֹעַט מְסִיעָם זִין לְעַבְדָּת
הָ.

וּכְפָרְטִיּוֹת: פָּוֹן דָעַם נְפָשָׁ
הַבְּהִמָּת שְׁבַּבְּחִינָת שַׂוְר וּוֹעַט
וּוֹעֲרָן וּרְבָתְבָאָות בְּכָל שַׂוְר. פָּוֹן

32. *Rambam, Hilchos Teshuvah* 3:14.

of *Parshas Yisro*. See also *Rashi, Shabbos* 31a.

33. *Tikunei Zohar, Tikun* 69, p.

114a.

34. *Shmos Rabbah*, the beginning

36. *Yoma* 86b.

37. *Mishlei* 14:4. The intent is that the power of the ox be transformed and directed to positive purposes.

vice should from now onwards follow the mode implied by the verse,³⁸ “Yissachar is a strong-boned donkey,” i.e., his Divine service involves the acceptance of the yoke of Torah like a donkey bearing its load.

The “scattered sheep of Yisrael,” refers to those who “have strayed like lost sheep,”³⁹ who wander without inner strength and become lost. G-d will “search for [His] servant,”⁴⁰ and prompt such a person to express the love of G-d, following the motif, “Draw me after You.”⁴¹ Their sheep-like self-nullification (*bittul*) will cause them to be drawn to G-d beyond reason and understanding.

The souls alluded to by the term “garment” will achieve excellence in the observance of *mitzvos*,⁴² as implied by the verse,⁴³ “The fragrance of your garments is like the fragrance of Lebanon.”

From these examples we can see how, as a whole, even the animal soul assists in Divine service. In this vein, our Sages⁴⁴ interpret the verse,⁴⁵ “You shall love the L-rd your G-d with all your hearts,” as meaning “with both your inclinations.”

38. *Bereishis* 49:14.

39. Cf. *Tehillim* 119:176.

40. The continuation of the above verse from *Tehillim*.

41. *Shir HaShirim* 1:4.

42. See footnote 28 and the *Zohar*, Vol. III, p. 186a, which speaks of the fragrance of his garments.

חמור - יששכר חמור גָּרָם,
די עכוזה פון קפלת על כחמור
למשא. פון שעורה ישראל,
וואס דאס איז אויך וואס עס
שטייט תעיתי כשה אובד,
ער בלאנדזשעט איזו ווי אשה
וואס האט ניט קיין איינענען
תוקף און ווערט פארלאן,
ווערט דער בעקש עבדך, משבני
אחריך, או ער ציט זיך נאכן
אויבערשטן למעללה מטעם
ויעת. פון שלמה - וויה
שלמוניך בריח לבנון.

אין אלגעמיין איז דאס, איז
אויך דער נפש הבהמית איז
מסיע צו עבודת הווי, כמאמר
ר' ליל: ואהבת את הווי אלקיך
בכל לבך - בשני ציריך.

(משיחות שמחת תורה ופרשות)
(בראשית תשט"ו)

43. *Shir HaShirim* 4:11.

44. *Berachos* 54a.

45. *Devarim* 6:5.

<p>(ח) שמות ולחונם - מכילתא שמות יב, ו. ויקרא רבה לב, ה. לבושים - מדרש ט) סוף פ"ט. (ט) משליכ, יד, 6. (יא) בראשית מט, יד. (יב) תהילים קיט, קעוו. (יג) שיר השירדים ד, יא. (יד) ברכות נד, א.</p>	<p>(ח) שמות ולחונם - מכילתא שמות יב, ו. ויקרא רבה לב, ה. לבושים - מדרש ט) סוף פ"ט. (ט) משליכ, יד, 6. (יא) בראשית מט, יד. (יב) תהילים קיט, קעוו. (יג) שיר השירדים ד, יא. (יד) ברכות נד, א.</p>	<p>(א) ע"ד"ה משביעין אותו (בספר קיצורים) והערות לתניא עמוד נ ואילך) מלשון ומשביע לכל כי כי. (ב) ע" שבת קנב, ב. ובכמה מקומות. (ג) נדה ל, ב. (ד) ע" תורה אור י, ב: אפיילו תינוקות כו.</p>
<p>(ו) בבא מציעא כו, א. (ז) ע" זהר ח"ג, קכד, ב: קשייא מסטרא דרע. (ז*) תקוני זהר תקון ס"ט.</p>	<p>(ו) בבא מציעא כו, א. (ז) ע" זהר ח"ג, קכד, ב: קשייא מסטרא דרע. (ז*) תקוני זהר תקון ס"ט.</p>	<p>(א) ע"ד"ה משביעין אותו (בספר קיצורים) והערות לתניא עמוד נ ואילך) מלשון ומשביע לכל כי כי. (ב) ע" שבת קנב, ב. ובכמה מקומות. (ג) נדה ל, ב. (ד) ע" תורה אור י, ב: אפיילו תינוקות כו.</p>

MISHPATIM II | משפטים ב

Adapted from a *sichah* delivered *Shabbos Parshas Mishpatim*, 5712 (1952)

Introduction

Sometimes profound teachings emerge from straightforward commandments. When the Torah discusses the obligation to lend money to those in need, it seems to be addressing a basic element of social responsibility. Yet through a careful analysis of the precise language the Torah uses, deeper dimensions of meaning emerge, transforming our understanding not only of this particular *mitzvah*, but of our entire relationship with G-d.

The Rebbe begins the *sichah* with an intriguing principle: Whatever G-d commands the Jewish people to do, He does Himself.

This raises a fascinating question: What could it mean for G-d to “lend money”?

Obviously, G-d does not “lend money” per se. He endows the Jewish people with energy and potentials for them to express in their lives. But, in this relationship, the unique dynamics of a loan play an important role. The recipient of a loan gains full ownership of what is given. However, although it becomes his or hers, he or she must repay the lender with something equivalent.

What G-d gives and how the Jews repay Him uncovers the ongoing nature of our relationship with Him.

Building on this introduction, the Rebbe addresses a common spiritual struggle. Many feel they must first achieve a certain level of personal refinement and *mitzvah* observance before engaging with the deeper, mystical dimensions of the Torah. Understanding how G-d’s “lending” operates invites us to tap into the spiritual resources made available to all Jews, regardless of their current standing.

The *sichah* culminates in a profound insight into G-d’s essential love for the Jewish people – a love that transcends all logic and reason, reaching even those who feel spiritually impoverished. In this way, the Torah’s command to lend money encourages us to understand the extraordinary nature of our relationship with G-d.

Loans From Above

G-d also Fulfills Mitzvos

1. This week's Torah reading contains the phrase,¹ "If you lend money to My people, to the poor among you...." Although it says "if," our Sages² teach that this does not mean that the matter is optional. Instead, this is a commandment³ that creates an obligation to offer a loan to a person in need.

Our Sages⁴ interpret the verse,⁵ "He relates His words to Yaakov, His statutes and laws to Yisrael," as meaning that what G-d commands Jews to do, He also does Himself. This is what is meant by "His statutes and His laws." They are His own statutes and His own laws, i.e., practices that He Himself carries out.

From this, it is understood that G-d also fulfills the command to "lend money to My people."

א. אין היינטיקער סדרה זאגט ער, אם בסוף תולוה.�אנן רז"ל⁶, או קאטש עס שטייט "אם", מײַנט דאס ניט קײַן רשות, נאר דאס אין א מזונה אונן א חובה.

אויפֿן פֿסֿוק מגיד דְּבָרִי לְיעַקְּבָּחְקִיּוֹ וּמְשִׁפְטִיו לְיִשְׂרָאֵל זָאנָן רז"ל⁷, או דאס זונאָס קָעֵד אויבּעַרְשְׁטָעֵר הַיִּסְטְּטָעָן אַיזָּן, טוֹט עַר אַוְיךְ אַלְיַין. וּנְאָס דָּאָס מִינְטְּחַקְּיָוֹ וּמְשִׁפְטִיו, זַיְנָעַח קִימָט אַונְן זַיְנָעַח מְשִׁפְטִים, וּנְאָס עַר אַלְיַין אַיז זַיְיַיְמִים.

פּוֹן דָעַם אַיז פָּאַרְשְׁטָאָזְדִּיק אַז אַוְיךְ דָעַר אוּבּעַרְשְׁטָעֵר אַיז מַקְיִים אַס בסֶפֶף תולוה את עַמִּי.

Defining a Spiritual Loan

2. What does it mean to give a loan? It means giving money to another person even though they haven't earned it and, at the time, they give nothing in return. However, it's not a gift; the recipient is obligated to repay the loan later.

Similarly, G-d gives to a Jew, "lending" him various spiritual and material resources and capabilities. However, He demands that all these resources and capabilities be utilized to fulfill the mission He assigned to the Jews in this world, in this way repaying the "loan" by observing His statutes and laws.

ב. דָעַר עַנְיִין פּוֹן הַלְוָאָה אַיז: מַעַן גִּיטִּיעַנְעָם גַּעַלְטָ, קָאַטְשׁ עַס קּוֹמֶט אִים נִיטָּה. יַעֲנָעָר גִּיטִּ נִיטָּה עַפְעָס פָּאַר דָעַם. עַס אַיז אַבְעָר נִיטָּ קְיַיָּן מַתְנָה, נָאָר יַעֲנָעָר וּוּעָרְטָ נִתְחַיְּבָ אַפְצָגָעָבָן שְׁפָעַטָּעָר.

אוֹוְיךְ דָעַר אוּבּעַרְשְׁטָעֵר גִּיטִּ אַיזָּן עַנְיִינִים אַונְן כְּחוֹתָ, עַר מַאֲנָט אַבְעָר, מַעַן זָאָל אַוְיסְנָזָן דָאָס אַלְיַץ אַוְיךְ אַוְיסְפִּין זַיְן שְׁלִיחָותָ, אַפְגָּעָבָן דָאָס אַין חַקְיָוֹ וּמְשִׁפְטִיו.

1. Shmos 22:24.

3. Rambam, Hilchos Malveh U'Loveh

5. Tehillim 147:19.

2. *Mechilta, Rashi* on the above verse.

1:1.

4. Shmos Rabbah 30:9.

The Uniqueness of a Loan

3. Jewish Law recognizes two relationships in which one person gives another something on the condition that it be returned: borrowing and lending. The difference between them is that a borrower must return the exact same item he received. It never becomes his. By contrast, a loan is given to be used.⁶ It becomes entirely the borrower's; he may use it for any purpose he desires.

G-d's empowerment of the Jewish people alluded to by the phrase, "if you lend money," involves G-d giving them energies and resources as a loan. He allows them to take His gifts and use them for their own purposes, employing them to accomplish their chosen objectives, even when they involve lowly matters.

ג. אין דעם עניין פון געבן א זאך על
מנת צוריק צורען, זייןען פאראן צוועי
אופנים: שאללה אוון הלאה. דער חילוק
פון די בידע איז: בי' שאללה - מווע דער
שואל אפגעבן די זעלבע זאך וואס ער
האט גענומען, עס ווערטט ביט זייןע.
אבער מלוה - להזאה ניתנה, עס
ווערט אינגןץן דעם ליה'ס, אונ ער
קען דאס נוcen אויר אלערלי עניינים.

דער טעם וואס דער נתינית כוח פון
דעם אויבערשטיין, כספּ פְּלָה, ווערט
אנגערופּן מיטן נאמען הלאה, איז
וויל דעם כוח קאען איזן געמען
אוון אויסנואן אויר פועלן אין זיירע
עניינים, אפּילו בשעת או דאס זייןען
נידעריקע עניינים.

No Place for Reluctance or Hesitation

4. To show how this concept applies in actual practice – particularly regarding the study of *Chassidus*: There are those who believe that before studying *Chassidus*, they must wait until they first perfect themselves, achieving unflawed observance to the extent that they are meticulous even with a minor detail of Rabbinic ordinance, and even in matters which are merely a *hiddur mitzvah*, the performance of a *mitzvah* in a manner that embellishes it. They suspect that as long as they possess any shortcoming in observance, they are disqualified from studying *Chassidus*. Certainly they will refrain from such study if they know that, from time to time, they will not have observed a *mitzvah* in a manner that embellishes it (*behiddur*), violated a seemingly minor Rabbinic prohibition, and most definitely, if they have not fulfilled a Rabbinic obligation, or perhaps even once in a while stumbled concerning

ד. דער עניין פון דעם אין פועל
ובלימוד היחסיות בפרט איז:
פאראן אזעלכע וואס מײַנען או מיט
לערנען חסידות דארף מען וווארטן
ביז ער ווועט זיין פְּרִיעָר אַפְּגָעָהִיט
בתכלית אפּילו איז א דקוק קל של
דבְּרֵי סּוֹפְּרִים אוון איז א הידור מצוה.
כל זמן ער איז נאך חושש, או ער האלט
נאך ניט דערביי, ווערט רעדט נאך או ער
וויס איז ער קען אמאל אַרְיֶבְּרָפָאַל
איז א הידור מצוה, אוון איז א דקוק
קל של דבְּרֵי סּוֹפְּרִים, ומכל שְׁכַן אויב
ער פְּאַלְתַּדְּרָךְ אַפּילו איז א דרבּן, אוון

6. *Kiddushin* 47a, et al.

a Scriptural commandment, be it one that involves taking action (*kum ve'asei*), i.e., fulfilling a positive commandment, or refraining from an action (*sheiv ve'al taaseh*), i.e., violating a prohibition. They feel that their failings prevent them from engaging in the study of *Chassidus*, meditative service in prayer, or other lofty spiritual matters.

A person with such hesitations is told: *Chassidus* is like a loan; it was given to be used. True, *Chassidus* represents lofty, spiritual teachings. Indeed, the Alter Rebbe compared it to the crown jewel in the royal diadem,⁷ a gem so essential that, without it, the crown would not be a crown. Nevertheless, the crown is given as a loan, implying that every Jew is given permission to take the king's crown and use it for his own matters.

No matter how low a Jew's spiritual level, he is asked not to miss his daily schedule of studying *Chassidus*. Even if he may have stumbled and failed in observing a Scriptural commandment (Heaven forbid) – whether one involving taking action (*kum ve'asei*) or refraining from action (*sheiv ve'al taaseh*) – he should not forgo studying *Chassidus* that day. This applies not only to those aspects of *Chassidus* that concern Divine service, matters related to his actual conduct or efforts in prayer, but even to abstract G-dly concepts – including ideas so lofty that he cannot even imagine how he could apply them in his life.

אם אל אפִילו אַין אֲדֹנִירִיתָא, סִי אַין אַן עַנְנִין פָּוֹן קּוֹם וְעַשָּׂה אָוֹן סִי אַין אַן עַנְנִין פָּוֹן שְׁבָ וְאַל תְּעַשָּׂה, דָּאַן - טְרָאכְט ְעַר - קְעַן עַר זִיךְ נִיטְ עַוְסָּק זִין אַין לְמַוד הַחַסִּידּוֹת אָוֹן אַין עַכְבָּדְתַּת הַתְּפִלָּה, אָוֹן בְּכָל אַין עַנְנִין נְעַלִים.

זָאָגַט מַעַן: מְלֹוה לְהַזְּכָה נִתְנָה. נִיטְ קְוִינְדִּיק אַוִּיפְ דָּעַר הַוִּיכְקִיִּיט פָּוֹן תּוֹרַת הַחַסִּידּוֹת, וְוָאָס דָּעַר אַלְטָר רַבִּי הָאַט אַוִּיפְ דָּעַם גַּעֲגָעָבָן אַמְּשָׁל פָּוֹן אַן אַבְּנָה וְוָאָס גַּעֲפִינְט זִיךְ בְּכָתְרַת הַמֶּלֶךְ, וְוָאָס אַן דָּעַם אַבְּנָה תּוֹבָה אַיְזְ דָּעַר גַּאנְצָעַר כְּתָר קִין כְּתָר נִיט, פָּוֹנְדָּעַסְטוּוֹעָגָן, גִּיט מַעַן רְשָׁוֹת צּוֹ יְעַדְעַר אַיְזְ נְעַמְּמָעַן דָּעַם כְּתָר הַמֶּלֶךְ אָוֹן אַוִּיסְנוֹצָן אִים אַן זִינְעָן עַנְנִים.

מַעַן מְאֹנֶט בֵּי יְעַדְעַר אַיְזְ, אַו וּוֹי נְיַדְעַרְיךָ עַר זָאָל נִיט זִין, זָאָל עַר קִין אַיְזְ טָאָג נִיט פָּאַרְפָּעַלְן זִין שִׁיעָוָר וְוָאָס עַר הָאַט אַין חַסִּידּוֹת, אָוֹן אַפִּילְוָה אַיְזְ דָּעַם טָאָג גַּופָּא וְוָאָס עַר אַיְזְ נְכַשְּׁלַי גַּעֲוָוָרָן חָס וְשָׁלִוָּם אַיְזְ אֲדֹנִירִיתָא, סִי אַיְזְ אַן עַנְנִין פָּוֹן קּוֹם וְעַשָּׂה סִי אַיְזְ אַיְזְ עַנְנִין פָּוֹן שְׁבָ וְאַל תְּעַשָּׂה, זָאָל עַר אַיְזְ דָּעַם טָאָג נִיט פָּאַרְפָּעַלְן זִין שִׁיעָוָר אַיְזְ לִימֹוד הַחַסִּידּוֹת, אָוֹן נִיט נָאָר אַן עַנְנִין פָּוֹן עַבְּזָה נָאָר אַיְזְ אַן עַנְנִין פָּוֹן הַשְּׁכָלָה אַיְזְ אַלְקָוָתָה, אָוֹן אַפִּילְוָה אֹזָא עַנְנִין אַיְזְ הַשְּׁכָלָה אַיְזְ וְוָאָס מַצְדָּקָה זִין הַוִּיכְקִיִּיט וְוִיסְקָס עַר גָּאָר נִיט וּזִיךְ דָּאָס אַרְאַפְּצָוּרָאָן צּוֹ זִיךְ.

Whom G-d Chooses

5. Why does G-d give such a loan – i.e., empower the Jewish people so generously? The verse¹ itself provides the answer, stating that a

ה. דָּעַר טָעַם אַוִּיפְ דָּעַם גַּתְיַת כּוֹחַ וְוָאָס דָּעַר אַוִּיבְּעַרְשָׁטָעַר גִּיט - כֶּסֶף תְּלָוָה, זָאָגַט עַר אַין פְּסָוָק - אַת עַמִּי.

7. See *HaTomim*, issue 2; *Biton Chabad*, issue 5; the *Igros Kodesh* of the Rebbe Rayatz, Vol. 3, Letter no.

767, p. 326ff.; *Likkutei Sichos*, Vol. 30, p. 170ff. (translated in *Selections from Likkutei Sichos, Moadim*, Vol.

1); et al.

loan should be given “to My people.” Our Sages⁸ explain that when faced with the option of lending to a Jew or a non-Jew, “My people [– the Jews –] take precedence.”

Hidden in this directive is a profound concept. Since it is necessary to stipulate that “My people take precedence,” it is understood that there is room for thinking otherwise, and yet, the Sages unequivocally clarify, “My people take precedence.” The existence of such a possibility indicates that the empowerment implicit in the Divine loan comes from a level of G-dliness that transcends created existence, one on which the Divine service of man or any other created being has no impact, the rung on which G-d is described as “the Singular Master, Root of All Roots.”⁹ Regarding this level, Rabbi Abahu declared,¹⁰ “I do not know which He desires – the deeds of the righteous or the deeds of the wicked.”¹¹

Since, at this level, there is an equivalence between good and evil, light and darkness, a special teaching is needed to establish the Jews’ precedence over the non-Jews. The transcendence that characterizes this level leaves room to think otherwise. It is only because of G-d’s essential choice – the choice He invests in choosing the souls of Israel – that “My people take precedence.”

זאגן רוזל”, עמי ונכרי עמי קודם. וויבאלד או מען דארף באווארענען אוון זאגן עמי קודם, אייז פאראן אין ארט אויף זאגן סאיין פאראן אין ארט אויף זאגן אנדערש, אוון פונדעסטוועגן זאגט מען עמי קודם.

פונ דעם אייז פארשטאנדיק או דער נתינית כוח קומט פון אוז ארט וואס דארטן רירט ניט אין עבוזת הביבאים, בהינת אדונ ייחיד שרש השרשים. ווי שער זאגט אייני יודע באיזה מהם חפץ, במעשה צדיקים צי במעשה רשעים, אוון סדרה זיין א לימוד אויף דעם וויל דארט קען זיין א נתינת מקום עס זאל זיין אנדערש. נאר מצד דער בחירה עצמית, וואס דער אויבערשטער האט בוחר געווונין אין נשומות ישראל, אייז עמי קודם.

An Essential Love

6. Concerning this level, it is written,¹² “Is not Eisav Yaakov’s brother?” declares G-d, ‘and [yet] I love Yaakov and I loathe Eisav.’ Since man’s deeds have no impact at this level, Eisav and Yaakov are equivalent; they are brothers. Nevertheless, because of G-d’s essential choice and love for the Jewish people – one that transcends all reason and logic – “I love Yaakov....”

ו. אוון דאס אייז די מדריגא וואס אויף איר שטיט, הלא אַח עשו ליעקב נאום ה’ ואהב אַת יעקב ואת עשו שנאתה. מעשה התהותנים רירט דארטן ניט און, דערפער אייז אַח עשו ליעקב, ביעך גלעך. אוון פונדעסטוועגן, מצד דער בחירה ואהבה עצמית, אייז ואהב אַת יעקב גו’.

8. *Bava Metzia* 71a.

the *maamar* entitled *Lo Sihiyeh Meshakeilah*, 5712.

9. *Avodas HaKodesh*, part 2, ch. 3, cited by *Shelah*, p. 31b. This concept is explained in the *maamar* entitled *Tze'enah U'Re'ena*, 5677, and in

10. *Bereishis Rabbah*, ch. 2:5.

11. See *Likkutei Sichos*, Vol. 7, p.

189ff., translated in *Selections from Likkutei Sichos*, Festivals, Vol. 1, p. 514ff.

12. *Malachi* 1:2-3.

To highlight this essential love, the verse speaks of lending *kesef* (כֶּסֶף), literally, “silver.” *Kesef* alludes to love, as in the verse,¹³ “You yearned greatly (*nichsof nichsafat* (נִכְשׁוֹף נִכְשָׁפָת) for your father’s house.” Using this specific term implies that the loan – the empowerment G-d grants – is not evoked by the merit of our Divine service, but stems from G-d’s essential love for the Jewish people.

אוֹן דָּאָס אַיְזָא אַזְּיךְ וַוָּאָס עַר זָאְגָט
אַם פֶּסֶף תַּלְוָה, פֶּסֶף אַיְזָא מַרְמָזָא אוַיַּחַת
אַהֲבָה, מַלְשָׁוֹן נַכְסָוֹף נַכְסָפָת לְבִית
אַבְּקִיךְ, דָּאָס דַּיְשִׁיט אֹז דִּי מַלְוָה,
נַתְיִנְתָּה כַּח, אַיְזָא נַיְט מִצְדָּעָבָדָה נָאָר
מִצְדָּעָר אַהֲבָת עַצְמִית פָּוֹן דַּעַם
אוַיְבָעֶרְשָׁטָן צָו אַיְזָן.

An Extra Measure of Generosity

7. Since this empowerment comes from a level where the deeds of man on this lowly plane have no impact, it follows that this empowerment is granted to every Jew, no matter what his actions. For at the level from which this empowerment emanates, a lack of adequate Divine service does not cause any blemish.

This is also implied in the verse’s¹⁴ wording, “the poor among you.” Though one is poor – meaning that when he contemplates his spiritual state he is broken by it, and indeed has legitimate reason to be broken – nevertheless, he must know that he, too, possesses this power from Above. G-d’s essential love extends to everyone, including him. Moreover, precisely because he is poor, broken in spirit, he becomes an appropriate conduit for G-d’s presence. Indeed, as is well known, G-d’s presence rests specifically upon a person with “a broken and crushed heart.”¹⁵

The verse continues, “Do not act like a creditor to him.” If the borrower lacks the means to repay, demanding payment will not achieve anything; it will only cause him distress. Therefore, one is forbidden to press him for payment. Moreover, one is even forbidden to pass by the borrower in a way that would cause him anguish.¹⁵

ז. וַיְבָאֵלְד אֹז דַּעַר נַתְיִנְתָּה כֹּחַ אַיְזָא
פָּוֹן אַזָּא אַרְטָו וַוָּאָס אַיְזָא נַיְט נַגְעָ
מַעַשָּׂה הַתְּחִתּוֹנִים, בְּמַלְיָא אַיְזָא דַּעַר
נַתְיִנְתָּה כֹּחַ צָו יְעַדְעָר אַיְזָן, וְאַרְוָם
אַיְזָא דַּעַם אַרְטָו פָּוֹן וַוָּאַנְעָן עַס וַעֲרָט
נַמְשָׁךְ דַּעַר כֹּחַ, הַאֲתָה הַעֲדָר הַעֲבֹדָה
נַיְט גַּעַפּוּלַט קַיְיַן פָּגָם.

אוֹן דָּאָס אַיְזָא אַזְּיךְ וַוָּאָס דַּעַר פְּסָוק
אַיְזָא מַמְשִׁיךְ, אַת הַעֲנִי עַמְּךָ: נַיְט
קַוְקוֹדִיךְ וַוָּאָס עַר אַיְזָא אַנְעָנִי, עַר הַאֲת
יְיַהְקָרִיךְ אַרְיִינְגַּעַטְרָאַכְט אַיְזָא זַיְינִי מַעֲמָד
וּמַצְבָּא אַוְן עַר אַיְזָא צַעְבָּרָאַכְן פָּוֹן דַּעַם, אַוְן
הַאֲתָה טַאְקָעַ פָּוֹן וַוָּאָס צָו זַיְינִי צַעְבָּרָאַכְן,
פַּוְנְדַעְסְטּוּוֹעָנִי דַּאְרָפְּ עַר וַיְסִין אֹז אַזְּיךְ
עַר הַאֲתָה דַּעַם כֹּחַ מַלְמָעָה, וְאַרְוָם
אַהֲבָה עַצְמִית, דַּעֲרָגְרַיְיכְט אַלְעָמָעָן,
אַזְּיךְ אִים, אַוְן אַדְרָבָה - דַּעֲרָפָרְ וַיְיַלְלָ
דַּעַר אַיְזָא אַנְעָנִי, אַיְזָא דַּאְקָבָאַוְאָסְט אֹז
דַּעַר אוַיְבָעֶרְשָׁטָר גַּעַפּוּנִיט זַיְד אַיְזָא
לְבָנְשָׁבָר וְגַדְפָּה דַּזְּקָא.

לֹא תַהֲיֵה לוֹ כְּנוֹשָׂה: אַוְיַב דַּעַר לֹהֶה
הַאֲתָה נַיְט מַיִט וַוָּאָס צָו צָאָלָן, בְּמַלְיָא
וַעֲטָדַע מַדְמַאְנָעָן נַיְט הַעֲלָלָפָן, מַעַר נַיְט
וְיַיְמָאָקָן אִים עַנְגָּ, טַאָר מַעַן בֵּי אִים
נַיְט מַאְנָעָן. נַאֲקָמָעָ: מַעַן טַאָר נַיְט
דַּוְרָכְגַּיְינְ פָּאָרְבִּי דַּעַם לֹהֶה בָּאוּפָן עַס
זַאֲל אִים מַאְקָן עַנְגָּי.

13. *Bereishis* 31:30, as quoted in *Tanya*, the beginning of ch. 50.

15. *Bava Metzia* 75b.

14. Cf. *Tehillim* 51:19.

As mentioned earlier, *Chassidus* interprets the verse, “He tells His words to Yaakov...,” as meaning, “what [G-d] commands His children, He does.” Similarly, the injunction against causing an indigent borrower suffering applies Above. When payment is demanded through the left vector – the vector associated with Divine judgment and retribution, which could lead to suffering – and it does not achieve anything, it is purposeless. Thus, such an approach is included in the prohibition, “Do not act like a creditor to him.”

A sinner should confront himself with the words of Yeshayahu’s prophecy,¹⁶ “Why do you continue [to invite] beatings [by] persisting in rebellion?” This wording can also be interpreted as a question posed to G-d, “Why do You beat...,” i.e., Why do You punish the Jews for their undesirable conduct? It achieves nothing. On the contrary, “[They] continue to rebel.”

When G-d sees that the left vector achieves nothing, He will begin relating to the Jewish people in a different manner, drawing close to them and showing them kindness that stems from the right vector, granting them benevolence, mercy, and visible and manifest good.

16. *Yeshayahu* 1:5.

וְיַעֲרַעַד תְּפִיעָר - אֵין
מְגִיד דְּכָרְיו לַיְעַקְב גּו' מֵה
שֶׁהָוָא מִצְעָה לְבָנָיו הָוָא עֹשָׂה,
אֵין אָזִי אַיְזָק לְמַעַלָה - בְּשֻׁעַת
אוֹ מִיטָן מְאַנְעָן דָוָרְקָן
הַשְׁמָאָל אָזָן מִיטִיסְרִים, טָוָד
מַעַן גַּאֲרַנִּישָׁת אַיְזָף, אֵין סְתָמָם
מְאַנְעָן - לֹא תַהְיָה לוֹ כְּנוֹשָׂה.

אוֹזִי וְזַי עַס שְׁטִיטִיט עַל מָה
תַּכְוּ עוֹד תּוֹסִיפָו סְרָה¹⁷. עַל
מָה תַּכְוּ - וּוּבְאַלְד אָז דָאָס
פּוּעַלְט נִיט, אַזְרָבָה, עַנְד
תּוֹסִיפָו סְרָה. בְּשֻׁעַת דָעָר
אוֹיְבָעַרְשָׁטָעָר זַעַט אָז מִיטָן
דָעָם קוֹ הַשְׁמָאָל טוֹט מַעַן נִיט
אַיְזָף, וַעֲטָעָר וּוּדְעָר אַנְהָוִיָּבָן
מִיטָן קוֹ הַיְמָין, מִיטִ קִירְנוֹב
בְּחַסְד וּבְרָחְמִים בְּטוֹב הַנְּרָאָה
וְהַנְּגָלָה.

(משיחת ש"פ משפטים,
תש"ב)

- יכ) בַּבָּא מִצְיָעָא עָא, א.
- (ט) עֲבוֹדָת הַקּוֹדֶת חָלֵק בְּ פָרָק ג,
- (כא) מֶלֶאכִי א, ב, ג.
- גַּעֲבָרָאכֶט אַיְן שְׁלָה (לָא, ב). הָעָנֵן (כב) תְּנִיאָ רִישָׁ פְּרָגָן.
- נִתְבָּאָר בְּדָה צָאִינָה וּוְאִינָה עֹוָרָת. (כג) בַּבָּא מִצְיָעָא עָה, ב.
- בְּדָה לֹא תַהְיָה מַשְׁכָּלָה תְּשִׁיבָה. (כד) יְשֻׁעָ' א, ה.

- טו) מַכְלִיתָא הַוּכָא בְּפִירּוֹשׁ רְשִׁי' עַל
הַתּוֹרָה.
- (טו) שְׁמוֹת רְבָה פְּלִיל, ט.
- (יז) זַעַט הַתְּמִימִים חִוּבָרָת ב. בְּטָאָן חַבָּד
חִוּבָרָת ה.

מישפטים ג | MISHPATIM III

Adapted from a *sichah* delivered *Shabbos Parshas Mishpatim, Parshas Shekalim*, 5718 (1958)

Introduction

The *Tzemach Tzedek*, the third Rebbe of *Chabad*, would frequently experience visions of his grandfather, the Alter Rebbe, during which he would receive guidance on complex questions of Torah and *Chassidus*.

On one occasion, it had been some time since he had received such a vision. Heavy-hearted, the *Tzemach Tzedek* was heading to *shul* for morning prayers when Reb Pinchas, one of the simple local villagers, approached him requesting a loan of three rubles to buy merchandise to sell and cover his *Shabbos* expenses.

The *Tzemach Tzedek* agreed and asked Reb Pinchas to meet him at home after prayers. As he put his *tallis* on his shoulder to prepare for prayer, a thought struck him, “Today is market day, and the transactions are conducted early. The sooner he has the money in hand, the better.”

He set down his *tallis*, went home, took the money, and hurried to find Reb Pinchas in the marketplace to give him the loan. Only then did he return to *shul* to prepare for prayer. At that moment, the Alter Rebbe appeared to the *Tzemach Tzedek* with a glowing countenance and resolved all the questions that had been troubling him.

This classic story illustrates the theme developed in the *sichah* that follows: A loan given on this physical plane – though seemingly a limited, mundane act – can spiral heavenward and draw down boundless blessing.

What We All Can Give

An Interactive Relationship

1. Commenting on the verse that begins,¹ “If you lend money to My people, to the poor among you...,” *Midrash Tanchuma*² states that one is actually lending to G-d, as it is written,³ “One who is gracious to the poor lends to G-d.” By being gracious to the poor – giving *tzedakah* (“charity”) – one lends to G-d.

When G-d repays the loan – He repays according to His measure. Since G-d is beyond all limitations – He repays in an unbounded way, without any limitations.

At the *farbrengen* of Yud Shvat, the following concept, as explained by the *Tzemach Tzedek* at the beginning of his *Sefer HaChakirah*,⁴ was discussed: In actual fact, it is impossible for infinity to be manifest within the realm of created beings. Even though new created beings will continuously be brought into existence – one and then another without end – nevertheless, since created beings are finite, ultimately, their creation will remain limited.

Nevertheless, this limitation exists only in actual fact – in other words, practically, infinity can never be manifest within the realm of created beings. However, G-d can – and has – invested an infinite potential within our limited world. Thus, the existence of the created beings continues generation after generation without end.

Proceeding to a deeper level of understanding, it is known that an entity that exists for only a limited time begins its ultimate decline long before its end,

א. אין מוקש תנומה שיטית או פון פסוק אם כספר מלאה את עמי את העני עמה, אז דאס בראגט מען זעם אויבערשטיין, מלוה ה' חונן דל, דורך דעם וואס מען איז חונן דל – נתינת הצדקה – אין מען מלוה הו', מען בראגט דעם אויבערשטיין.

דער אויבערשטיין או עד צאלט – צאלט עד לויין זיין מדה. דער אויבערשטיין אויך דאך בְּלִי גְּבוּל – צאלט עד אויך בְּלִי גְּבוּל (אן א גרענץ).

ווי מען האט גערעדט אין דער התועדות פון יוזד שבט, אין דעם ענין וואס דער צמח צדק אין מאור אין ספר החקירה בתקלו, אז הגם בְּלִי גְּבוּל בְּפּוֹעֵל אויך ניט שיך אין נבראים, ווארום אפִילו או עס ווועט סוף כל סוף, בְּלִי בְּטַבַּע דאך דאס בהגבלה;

דאס איז אבער מער ניט וואס בְּפּוֹעֵל אויך ניטא קיין בְּלִי גְּבוּל אין נבראים, אבער בְּלִי קען דאך דער אויבערשטיין געבן וווײיטער און וווײיטער, דער אחר דור, עד איז קז. טיפער דער ענין – א זאך א גְּבוּל, בְּזָמָן, הויבט זיך אן זיין תחלת הסוף,

1. *Shmos* 22:24.

3. *Mishlei* 19:17.

2. *Midrash Tanchuma, Parshas Mishpatim*, sec. 15.

4. *Sefer HaChakirah*, p. 286bff.

experiencing change and weakening over time. To quote a well-known expression,⁵ “From the day of their creation, created beings⁶ begin to continuously wither [and weaken].” From this, we can extrapolate that seeing that a flow of Divine energy does not weaken over an extended period of time⁷ enables us to appreciate it as an expression of infinity in actual reality. On the whole, from the constancy within existence, it is evident that the infinite is being drawn down into the finite.

This lofty philosophical concept can be connected to something very practical – giving charity, and more specifically, giving loans. Herein, we see the connection between the finite and the infinite because, as mentioned, G-d repays a finite gift of charity with infinite blessings that continue endlessly.

Within *tzedakah* (“charity”) – which “is equal to all other commandments”⁸ – there exist several levels. The highest form of *tzedakah* is *gemilus chassadim* (“acts of kindness”) which includes interest-free loans.⁹ Thus, our Sages¹⁰ state that acts of kindness are superior to *tzedakah* for “charity is [given] only to the poor, while a loan is [given] to the rich as well.”

Tzedakah necessitates the existence of both rich and poor, for if everyone were wealthy, there would be no opportunity for charity, as implied by the interpretation of the verse,¹¹ “Who will preserve kindness and truth?” and for this reason, it is written,¹² “The poor shall not cease

א שינוי אוון חלישות, א סך צייט פריער. וככלשון הידועה, או נבראים מיום הבראם הולכים ומתיבשימים. מזה מובן, או עצענדייך א השפעה וואס במשך זמן רב אין אין איר זיטה קיין חלישות, ואדרבה – אין דאס מגדרי אין סוף בפועל. דאס איז דער פללות הענן פון המשכת בל גבול בגבול אין צדקה, וואס שוקלה צדקה פוגד כל המצות, אין דא דער העכסטער אופן פון צדקה, וואס דאס אין גמלילות חסדים, לוייט דעם מאמר רזליי גדולה גמלילות חסדים יותר מן הצדקה, הצדקה הוא לעניים דוקא וגמלילות חסדים בין לעניים בין לעשירים. מצד צדקה מזו דאך זיין עשיר ועני, וニアרום אווב אלע וואלטן געוווען עשירים, אין – חסד ואמתמן ינצראהו, לא ייחד אביזן

5. See the series of *maamarim* entitled *Mayim Rabbim*, 5636, ch. 33.

6. As explained in *Mayim Rabbim*, loc. cit., et al., there is a constancy in creation. “The hosts of heaven remain unchanged, each one individually. The host of earth [– the plants, animals, and humans –] remain unchanged, each species separately.” The statements in the main text refer to those created beings whose continuity remains constant as a species, but not as individual entities..

7. See *Talmud Yerushalmi, Berachos* 1:1, which states, “A day enters and a day departs..., [even so,] ‘These are

the chronicles of heaven and earth, as they were created on the day G-d made heaven and earth.’” The implication is the ongoing chronicles of heaven and earth do not reflect any change in their existence from “the day G-d made heaven and earth.” See *Likkutei Sichos*, Vol. 5, pp. 97-99, where these concepts are explained at length.

8. *Bava Basra* 9a.

9. See *Rambam, Hilchos Matanos Aniyim* 10:7, who writes, “The highest level [of *tzedakah*], beyond which there is none, is a person who supports a Jew who has fallen into

poverty [by] giving him... a loan.”

10. *Sukkah* 49b; *Shulchan Aruch. Yoreh Deah* 249:6.

11. *Tehillim* 61:8. We have translated the verse according to the interpretation by *Shmos Rabbah* 31:5; *Midrash Tanchuma, Parshas Mishpatim*, sec.

9. According to this interpretation, the verse is saying that were there not to be poor people, there would be no opportunity to perform acts of charity. In its literal context, the verse would be interpreted differently.

12. *Devarim* 15:11.

from the land.” However, for there to be acts of kindness, it is not necessary that anyone be poor – in the words of the blessing¹³ – “There shall be no needy among you,” for *gemilus chassadim*, interest-free loans, can be granted to the wealthy as well.

To translate the above into concrete action: Tonight, a meeting is taking place of the Society for Interest-Free Loans for *Shomrei Shabbos* (“Sabbath Observers”). [The term “*Shomrei Shabbos*” is not entirely precise. Just as there are no distinctions between the recipients of acts of kindness, be they rich or poor, similarly, there should be no other distinctions made between Jews, whether observant or not.¹⁴ The term is presumably being used merely to indicate an organizational objective.¹⁵]

Everyone should participate in this endeavor, lending money to the fund for the longest possible term. This will enable the fund to lend larger sums for longer periods and, through this, all participants will fulfill the commandment of acts of kindness.

Just as there is no limitation concerning acts of kindness and no distinction is made regarding who may borrow, whether rich or poor, similarly, there should be no limitation regarding who may participate as a lender. Therefore, everyone should contribute to this fund, no matter how small his contribution.

Asking everyone to participate and donate to this fund relates to the Torah reading, *Parshas Shekalim*, added today.¹⁶ As is well known, the

13. Ibid. 15:4.

14. The Rebbe is noting that while the organization’s name implies it serves only *Shabbos*-observant Jews, its services should be available to all Jews, just as acts of kindness should be performed for all.

15. I.e., the intent of this name is that

the interest-free loans enable all Jews to observe the *Shabbos*.

16. During four of the five (or six) *Shabbosos* surrounding the month of Adar, a special reading is added aside from the weekly Torah reading. The first of these four readings, *Parshas Shekalim*, includes the com-

מִקְרָב הָאָרֶץ, אֲבָעַר מִצְדָּגָמִילָות חֲסִידִים דָּאָרָף קַיִן עֲנֵי נִיטָּזִין, אַפְּסֵכִי לְאֵלָה בְּכָאָבִיוֹן, וּוֹאֲרוֹם גִּמְילָות חֲסִידִים אֵין אַוְיךָ לְעַשְׂיוּים.

הַיּוֹנָת בִּינְאָכְטָ קַוְמָת דָּאָךְ פָּאָר דִּי אַסְפִּהָה פָּוּן חַבְרָה גִּמְילָות חֲסִידִים שְׁוּמָרִי שְׁבָת (דָּעַר וּוֹאָרָט שְׁוּמָרִי שְׁבָת אֵיזְבָּאָמָת נִיטָּמָכוֹן, וּוֹאֲרוֹם כְּשָׁם וּוּאֵין גִּמְילָות חֲסִידִים דָּאָרָף נִיטָּזִין קַיִן חִילּוֹק בֵּין עֲנֵיִים לְעַשְׂיוּרִים, אֲוֹויְן זָאָרְפָּן אַוְיךָ קַיִן אַנְדָּרָעָרָעָ חִילּוֹקִים נִיטָּזִין. נָאָר מַעַן מִינְטָה דָּאָס מַסְתָּמָא אַלְס אַמְּטָה) - זָאָל זִיךְרָעָרָע אַיְינָעָרָרָע מַשְׁתַּחַתָּפָה זִין אַיְינָעָרָרָע דָּעַם, מַעַן זָאָל אַנְטָלִיְעָן גַּעַלְטָן אַיְינָעָרָרָע דָּעַר קַוְפָּה אַוְיךָ דָּעַר לְעַנְגָּסְטָעָר צִיְּיטָה, וּוֹאָס דָּעַמְּאָלָט וּוֹעַט דִּי קַוְפָּה קַעַנְעָן אַנְטָלִיְעָן גַּרְעָסְעָרָע סְכוּמִים אַוְיךָ לְעַנְגָּרָעָרָע זְמָנִים, אַוְיךָ דָּעַרְמִיטָה וּוֹעַלְןָן כָּל הַמַּשְׁתַּחַתָּפִים בָּוּהָ מַקְיָּים זִין גִּמְילָות חֲסִידִים.

וּכְשָׁם וּוּאֵין גִּמְילָות חֲסִידִים אַיְינָטָה דִּי הַגְּבָלָה פָּוּן עֲנֵיִים אַוְיךָ עַשְׂרִים בְּנוֹגָע וּוֹעַמְעָן צִי אַנְטָלִיְעָן, אֲוֹויְן דָּאָרָף אֵין דָעַם אַוְיךָ נִיטָּזִין קַיִן הַגְּבָלָה בְּנוֹגָע וּוֹעַר עַס זָאָל זִיךְרָעָרָע מַשְׁתַּחַתָּפָה זִין אַיְינָעָרָרָע יַעֲזָר אַיְינָעָרָרָע גַּעַבָּן אַיְינָעָרָרָע דָּעַר קַוְפָּה.

וּוֹאָס דָּאָס שְׁטִימָט מִיטָּדָעָר פְּרַשְׁתָּשְׁקָלִים וּוֹאָס מַעַן לִיְעַנְתָּה הַיּוֹנָת, אַוְיךָ עַס אֵיזְבָּאָמָת שְׁטִימָט דָּעַר וּוֹאָרָט פָּוּן אַלְטָן רְבִיְּן אֹז מַדָּאָרָף

mandment to give the half-*shekel*, a mandatory annual contribution that every Jew, rich or poor, was required to give. Quite often, as on the *Shabbos* when this *sichah* was delivered, *Parshas Shekalim* is read on the *Shabbos* when *Parshas Mishpatim* is the weekly reading.

Alter Rebbe stated that one must “live with the times”¹⁷ – that is, derive lessons from the current Torah portion and see their application in his everyday life. The above directive – that everyone should contribute to the free loan fund – is associated with giving the half-*shekel*, since all Jews participated in making that donation.

17. See *HaYom Yom*, entry for 2 Cheshvan.

לעַבֵּן מִט דָעֵר צִיִּיט, מִט דָעֵר קְרִיאָה אֵין תֹּרֶה פָוּן דָעֵר צִיִּיט, וַיֵּאֵס אֵין מְחַצֵּית הַשְׁקֵל הַאֲבָן וַיַּקְרֵא אַלְעָן אֵין מְשַׁתְּתָף גַּעֲוָעָן.

(משיחת שבת פרשנת משהפטים,
פרשנת שקלים תשח"ר)

כה) המשך מים רבים – תרל"ז, פל"ג, זע כז) זע ירושלמי ברכות פ"א ה"א: יומ סימן רמ"ט ס"ז.
דארט.
נכנס כי' השםיהם והארץ בהבראים ביום כט) שמות רבה פל"א, ה. תנחומה שם.
כו) געמיינט נבראים וואס זייןען קיימים עשות כו'.
נאר במען.
כח) סוכה מט, ב. שולחן ערוך יורה דעתה



CREDITS:

Copyright by Sichos in English

Rabbi Eliyahu Touger

Rabbi Sholom Ber Wineberg

Translators

Rabbi Sholom Zirkind

Rabbi Yosef Greenbaum

Rabbi Zalmy Avtzon

Content Editors

Uriella Sagiv

David Hendler

Copy Editors

Yosef Yitzchok Turner

Typesetting

Spotlight Design

Layout and Cover

Mayer Preger

Advisory Board

Rabbi Shmuel Avtzon

Director, Sichos In English

הרב"ת ר' משה יהודה בן ר' צבי יוסף ע"ה

Rabbi Moshe Kotlarsky OBM,

whose commitment has brought this sacred initiative to fruition

